Journal of Animal Environment
(E-ISSN 2717-1396, ISSN 2717-1388)
Journal homepage: https://aejournals.org/

Original Research Paper

WildGuard Conservation Analytics Model for Predicting Species Vulnerability Through Integrated Ecological Indicators

Dr. Amit Sharma[1*], Dr. Arvind Kumar Tiwari[2], Nikhil Singh[3]

[1]Assistant Professor, Kalinga University, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India.*
Email: ku.amitsharma@kalingauniversity.ac.in, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-8555-8035
[2]Assistant Professor, Kalinga University, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India.
Email: ku.arvindkumartiwari@kalingauniversity.ac.in, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0005-1732-0291
[3]Assistant Professor, New Delhi Institute of Management, New Delhi, India.
Email: nikhil@ndimdelhi.org, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0002-7051-7569

Key Words

Species vulnerability, Conservation analytics, Ecological indicators, Biodiversity conservation, Predictive modeling, Machine learning, Environmental monitoring.

Abstract

The vulnerability assessment of species has become a key component of biodiversity conservation; however, currently used traditional approaches are hardly capable of coping with the fast pace of ecological changes. Most models based on periodic, criterion-dependent evaluation fail to capture the complexity of species' interactions in the changing habitat, climate, and presence of humans. This paper presents WildGuard, a new conservation analytics model which integrates multiple ecological indicators, such as habitat destruction, species' population dynamics, climate vulnerability, human interference, and genetic variation, to provide a comprehensive assessment of species' vulnerability. With the help of the structured ecological data and a weighted analytics engine, WildGuard is able to produce a vulnerability index which allows timely identification of vulnerable species and development of conservation strategies. Our approach is compared to the existing species vulnerability assessment models and shows high correlation with actual conservation results; what is more, it is much more adaptive to the multidimensional changes in ecology than traditional single-indicator models. Analysis of indicators shows that habitat destruction and population dynamics have the most significant effect on vulnerability scores.

* Corresponding Author's email: ku.amitsharma@kalingauniversity.ac.in
Received: 25th May, 2026; Revised: 6th June, 2026; Accepted: 8th June, 2026; Available Online: 07th August, 2026
(DOI): 10.70102/AEJ.2026.18.3s.54

Introduction

Biodiversity around the globe faces unparalleled challenges from habitat loss, climate change, and anthropogenic disturbance, with species extinction occurring at much higher rates than ever before. Vulnerability assessments are essential in conservation planning and management; however, currently available frameworks are updated rarely and depend on static scoring determined by experts. Recent developments in artificial intelligence and predictive modeling present unique opportunities for monitoring biodiversity continuously and making decisions based on dynamic changes in species distribution (Manjunatha & Raveesha, 2025). Traditional methods of vulnerability assessment, even though necessary, assess species' conditions according to limited criteria and rarely update their findings about species status. As a result, there is usually a considerable time lag between environmental changes and acknowledgment of vulnerability. One way that machine learning methods have managed to close this gap is by taking into account dynamic environmental parameters such as climate vulnerability in translocation and risk-prediction decisions (Kesavan, 2023). Another approach that could be used is combining artificial intelligence and traditional ecological tools (Ullah et al., 2025). Further developing upon these advancements, WildGuard is proposed in this study as a conservation analytics model for generating a unified vulnerability index from various ecological indicators. This is done to overcome the current limitations of fragmented assessments and develop a comprehensive model that captures the interrelated pressures faced by species in actual environments.

Three are the contributions made in this paper: (1) the design of an integrated framework of ecological indicators that incorporates habitat, population, climatic, and anthropogenic factors into a single analytics pipeline; (2) the design of a weighted vulnerability index formulation to enable varied contributions of indicators according to their ecological significance; and (3) the demonstration of the translation of vulnerability predictions into conservation priorities.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section II provides a survey of the literature on AI and the conservation of biodiversity and models of species vulnerability. Section III outlines the framework of WildGuard, detailing data, pre-processing, and modeling methodology. Section IV shows model performance and results from predicting vulnerabilities. Section V offers an interpretation of the vulnerabilities and limitations of the models. Section VI applies the model to conservation decision-making, and Section VII summarizes this paper with future directions.

Related Work in Species Vulnerability Modeling

Traditionally, conservation biology has used structured assessments based on predefined criteria for evaluating the risk faced by species, which has recently been complemented with automatic monitoring devices (Rithanya et al., 2025). For example, real-time monitoring based

on deep neural network models has been used to detect threats including forest fires and poaching (Rithanya et al., 2025). However, in addition to detecting the threats, AI-enabled analysis has also been used in habitat monitoring, allowing for modeling the changes in the environment and predicting their impact on the species' survival (Yambal & Waykar, 2025). In fact, predictive ecosystem management approaches have demonstrated that it is possible to use data-driven methods to make conservation proactive, rather than reactive discipline, by making decisions regarding resource allocation before it is too late (Bhakhar et al., 2025. Although the above-cited papers show the potential of AI in solving particular conservation problems (threat detection, habitat monitoring, ecosystem management), there are only few papers combining various ecological indicators into one vulnerability score. WildGuard seeks to fill in the gap by bringing together these different data streams into one index.

Taken as a whole, the literature suggests an obvious trend toward AI-powered conservation, showing a high level of contributions in each individual area such as predictive translocation modeling, threat detection, and habitat management. Nevertheless, all of these techniques have a very isolated nature, solving ecological issues separately from one another. This is what makes WildGuard an obvious necessity – a consolidated approach to creating a unified vulnerability assessment.

The WildGuard Framework: Data and Model Design

Ecological Indicator Selection and Data Sources

The WildGuard is built upon five main indicator groups: habitat quality and fragmentation indicators, population trend indicators, climate exposure indicators, human disturbance intensity indicators, and genetic diversity indicators. The chosen indicators were selected based on their ecological significance regarding species decline and widespread application across various taxa and biomes.

Data Preprocessing and Integration Pipeline

Ecological data is processed according to a standard preprocessing pipeline involving missing value imputation, normalization in case of heterogeneous data scaling, and synchronization, since the indicators might have different frequencies of reporting. Then the indicators are consolidated into one coherent dataset at the species level.

WildGuard Analytics Engine and Formulation of Vulnerability Index

The key element of the framework is the WildGuard analytics engine that employs the weighted scoring algorithm on the consolidated indicators set. The weights are determined based on the impact of the ecological factors on species decline according to the conservation science research. The engine produces the Vulnerability Index (VI) that is then assigned to a risk tier from low concern to critical vulnerability.

Model Performance and Vulnerability Predictions

Evaluation Metrics and Validation Approach

Model accuracy was measured based on comparison between WildGuard vulnerability tiers for the species of interest and their conservation status classifications. Specific evaluation criteria included the accuracy of classification, sensitivity to species at high risk, and robustness across different taxonomic categories.

Vulnerability Index Outputs Among Species/Taxa

For the species tested using WildGuard, the outputs from the vulnerability indices corresponded well with existing risk classifications, such that the model identified most of the species at risk, as well as some species showing risk signals without having received any status updates yet.

Key Indicators' Contribution

Regarding the analysis of indicator contribution, it became evident that habitat degradation and population trends were the two key indicators determining vulnerability outcomes, followed by climate exposure. Human disturbance and genetic diversity were less consistent in their contributions.

Interpreting Vulnerability Patterns Across Species

WildGuard Outputs in Comparison with Existing Vulnerability Assessment Frameworks

Outputs from the tool mostly support results that can be obtained from classical assessments but provide better discrimination of the species belonging to general risk classes, thus implying that it is complementary to the existing framework.

Ecological Interpretation of High-Risk Species Indicators

High correlation between habitat and population indicators and high extinction risk is related to the fact that they have strong direct impact on extinction risk. The effect of human disturbance and genetic variation is dependent on the ecological context.

Limitations of the Model and Possible Sources of Uncertainty

Possible limitations of the model are: dependency of results on input ecological data; absence of rare or poorly known species; static character of indicator weight.

Translating Predictions into Conservation Action

Implications for Conservation Prioritization

Through the use of continuous scores for vulnerability as opposed to categorized ones, WildGuard will allow for more informed

prioritization of conservation effort for species that are near critical risk thresholds.

Implications for Policy and Management

The output from this analysis can be used by conservation bodies to inform decision-making around resource allocation for monitoring and habitat protection as well as to complement regular assessments of species status.

Field Implementation Recommendations

Field implementation of this approach should involve the participation of field ecologists to confirm the validity of the model outputs in real-world situations.

Conclusion and Future Directions

WildGuard was introduced in this paper as a conservation analytics framework combining different ecological indicators: habitat quality, population dynamics, climate exposure, human disturbance, and genetic diversity to produce a single index of species vulnerability. For all species investigated, vulnerability scores produced by the model correlated well with existing conservation standards, while providing an additional insight into emergent risk factors not currently reflected in official status classifications. This implies that besides being able to act as a validation tool, WildGuard could also be used to identify new emerging risks of reaching a vulnerable status. Modularity is an important feature of the proposed framework since its indicators may be altered without changing the model itself. This allows the model to be used in many different ecosystems and taxa, making it applicable in much more than just the particular species discussed in this research. Three major directions for future research are identified as follows. First, a system will be developed that allows adaptive weighting to be performed to enable dynamic adjustment of the weight of each indicator according to changes in ecological conditions instead of using fixed weights. Second, the proposed system will be tested on a considerably wider set of species and taxa. Third, attempts will be made to include WildGuard in real-time monitoring systems to provide continual rather than periodic evaluation of vulnerability of wildlife.

References

[1] Bhakhar, Ruchika, Archna Goyal, and Rashi Singh. "Harnessing AI for Predictive Ecosystem Management: Transforming Conservation Through Data-Driven Insights." In *AI and Machine Learning Techniques for Wildlife Conservation*, pp. 133-158. IGI Global Scientific Publishing, 2025. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-6935-7.ch006

[2] Kesavan, Nandhi. "Machine Learning-Based Threatened Species Translocation Under Climate Vulnerability." *Intelligent Automation & Soft Computing* 36, no. 1 (2023).

[3] Manjunatha, G. R., and H. R. Raveesha. "AI for Biodiversity Conservation: Harnessing Predictive Analytics and Habitat Monitoring to Protect Endangered Species and Ecosystems." In *AI and Ecological Change for Sustainable Development*, pp. 33-64. IGI Global Scientific Publishing, 2025.

https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-0680-3.ch002

[4] Rithanya, G., R. Revathi, and S. Shanthini. "Real-Time Forest Fire and Wildlife Poacher Detection and Alerting System Using YOLOV11." In *2025 10th International Conference on Communication and Electronics Systems (ICCES)*, pp. 1571-1576. IEEE, 2025. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCES67310.2025.11337168

[5] Ullah, Fazal, Saddam Saqib, and You-Cai Xiong. "Integrating artificial intelligence in biodiversity conservation: bridging classical and modern approaches." *Biodiversity and Conservation* 34, no. 1 (2025): 45-65. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-024-02977-9

[6] Yambal, Sucheta, and Yashwant Arjunrao Waykar. "AI powered conservation transforming habitat management for a sustainable future." In *Artificial Intelligence and Data Science for Sustainability: Applications and Methods*, pp. 47-80. IGI Global Scientific Publishing, 2025. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-6829-9.ch003