18(1) 421-428, Spring 2026

Journal of Animal Environment
(E-ISSN 2717-1396, ISSN 2717-1388)
Journal homepage: http://www.aejournal.ir

Original Research Paper

Forest Edge Effects and Habitat Connectivity Maintaining Movement Patterns, Social Behavior, and Population Viability of Large Herbivores

Alok Kumar Yadav[1*], Vijay Shankar Yadav[2]

[1]Assistant Professor, Kalinga University, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India.*
Email: ku.alokkumaryadav@kalingauniversity.ac.in, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0007-3070-9079
[2]Assistant Professor, Kalinga University, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India.
Email: ku.vijayshankaryadav@kalingauniversity.ac.in, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0001-2485-3177

Key Words
Forest edges, Habitat connectivity, Large herbivores, Population dynamics, Edge effects, Fragmentation, Conservation planning.

Abstract
Edge effects have a considerable impact on the ecology and behavior of large herbivores through their influence on microclimate conditions, resource availability, and accessibility. This paper explores how the edge habitats affect population density, juvenile survival rates, and reproductive success among deer, antelopes, and elephants in fragmented forests. The edge habitats have low population densities (Deer: 12 versus 25 animals per km², Antelope: 8 versus 20, Elephant: 3 versus 7), and both juvenile survival rates and reproductive success are less than in the core of the forest due to the level of stress and resource availability. In addition, human disturbances caused by settlement, logging activities, and vehicular movement aggravated the edge effects through disruption of the animals' mobility, interactions, and feeding. Depending on their structure and composition, different edge types produce different levels of disturbances, with gradual and heterogeneous edges being able to reduce some of the disturbances in comparison with abrupt edges. The connectivity of functional habitats was one of the important elements for population sustainability because of mobility, genetic exchange, and social stability. The findings highlight the necessity of incorporating the corridor network approach, adaptive management principles, and public involvement within conservation strategies. It is necessary to conduct further studies examining edge effects on a species-by-species basis, as well as long-term impacts on the ecological functioning of forest ecosystems that have undergone restoration efforts.

Introduction

Forest edge effects refer to ecological and environmental dynamics of areas at the edges between forests and other habitats (Patil, 2018). These impacts occur as changes in microclimate, vegetation structure, and species interactions, which frequently result in an adjustment of species composition and ecosystem processes (Satapathy et al., 2025). The edge environments are usually more exposed to the sun, wind, and human activities than in the forest interiors; a factor that causes gradients impacting the behavior and survival of wildlife (Bartel et al., 2025; Naha et al., 2023). Big herbivores like deer, antelope, and elephants are especially sensitive to such conditions because their movement, foraging behaviors, and social life rely on reliable, constant habitats (Mullu, 2016).

The requirement of habitat connectivity is important to the movement and dispersal of the big herbivores in the fragmented terrain (Punam & Patel, 2023). Interconnected habitats allow access to vital resources like food, water, and mates, and avoid population segregation and inbreeding. The morbidity of riparian strips,

* Corresponding Author's email: ku.alokkumaryadav@kalingauniversity.ac.in
Received: Received: 29 December 2025; Reviewed: 06 February 2026; Revised: 23 February 2026; Accepted: 25 April 2026
(DOI): 10.70102/AEJ.2026.18.1.37

hedges, and greenways forms functional corridors that enhance the permeability of the landscape in core habitat patches and provide gene flow. Connectivity has minimized the adverse impacts of the condition of fragmentation in the sense that it provides the responses of seasonal migration, population stability, and preserves ecological interactions. But most landscapes are poorly connected, which puts populations in danger of habitat fragmentation, decreased gene flow, and localized population declines (Portanier et al., 2018).

Social behavior and population viability are also defined by the interaction between the sentimental effects of forest edges and connectivity among habitats (Zong et al., 2023). Edge habitats usually cause variations in the population, augment rivalry over scarce assets, and a variation in communication and mating encounters. Such transformations have the potential to decrease reproductive success and survival, causing the population size and biodiversity to decline in the long run (Koen et al., 2017). Fragmentation caused by humans worsens the situation because it increases the edge effects and blocks access to the functional corridors. Superior conservation involves the combination of habitat connection, corridor execution, and edge administration to improve the ecological resilience and guarantee the maintenance of large numbers of herbivorous species (Baguette & Van Dyck, 2007; Dixit & Raje, 2024).

Key Contribution

1. Examines the influence of forest edge impacts on population density, survival rates of juveniles, and reproduction in large herbivores.
2. Underlines the significance of functional connectivity and corridors in allowing movement, genetic exchange, and stability of herds of herbivores.
3. Proposes practical recommendations in order to develop conservation measures that would minimize the negative influences of edges on herbivores.

Introduction to Forest Edge Effects and Habitat Connectivity is presented in Section I. The following section covers the factors affecting edges, such as fragmentation, structural aspects, and anthropogenic disturbances. Section III discusses movement, social behavior is discussed in Section IV, and population processes are covered in Section V.

Factors Influencing Forest Edge Effects

Fragmentation of Habitat

Fragmentation of habitat as a result of road construction, agriculture, and urbanization fragments the contiguous forests, reducing their size into separate fragments. Fragmentation causes an increase in the proportion of edge habitats compared to the internal forest and exposes wildlife to threats such as predator attack and human activities (Bluhm et al., 2023). Fragments expose large herbivores to limitations in terms of resources and shelter and, therefore,

change their behavior and patterns in moving and feeding.

Edge Structure and Composition

The structural attributes of edges, such as vegetation density, canopy closure, and the presence of exotic plants, will affect the extent of edge effects on an ecosystem. If the edges have gradual changes or mixed vegetation cover, then there is some level of protection from wind and sunlight as well as availability of resources, which facilitate certain wildlife behaviors (Blake & Maisels, 2023). Abrupt edges and a lack of vegetation will make the wildlife exposed to light, wind, and predation.

Table 1: Edge Habitat Characteristics

Habitat Patch ID	Edge Type	Canopy Cover (%)	Vegetation Density	Human Disturbance Level
HP1	Gradual	75	High	Low
HP2	Abrupt	60	Medium	Medium
HP3	Gradual	80	High	Low
HP4	Abrupt	50	Low	High
HP5	Gradual	70	Medium	Medium

Table 1 shows the properties of forest edge habitats of five sampled patches. It also comprises edge type (gradual or abrupt), percentage of cover, vegetation coverage, and the degree of human disturbance. This data indicates variability in both physical and anthropogenic characteristics across edge patches, and can be used to understand the potential impacts of physical characteristics on wildlife movement, social behavior, and demography. This table assists in the association of habitat structure and human change on the ecological responses of the large herbivores.

Human Disturbances Near Forest Edges

Close connection with man (settlements, logging, and traffic) heightens stress levels and interrupts the normal behavior of wildlife. Ongoing disturbances cause changes in the movement patterns, restricting access to essential resources, and can contribute to the avoidance of otherwise appropriate habitats. The persistence of noise, lights, and human presence raises vulnerability to mortality and decreases foraging efficiency. Habitats on edges close to human activity turn into high-risk areas, where big-bodied herbivores are subjected to a behavioral change, augmented rivalry, and forsaken social interactions, which adversely affect population viability and environmental stability.

Implications for Wildlife Movement Patterns

Fragmentation of Habitat

The continuous forests are fragmented into smaller, spaced-off sections due to roads, agricultural expanses, and urban development. This enhances a higher ratio of edge habitat versus interior forests, subjecting wildlife to the chances of more predation, lack of resources, and

human interference. Small areas decrease access to food, water, and shelter, thus animals with great horns have to alter their foraging and movement. Fragmentation can also cause isolation of populations, leading to a decrease in mating and genetic exchange, negatively impacting population stability in the long term and the ecological resilience of the ecosystem.

Edge Structure and Composition

Severity of the effects of edges is strongly related to the physical characteristics of forest edges, e.g., canopy cover, density of vegetation, and the presence of invasive species. Slow, uneven borders have the potential to offer partial protection and microhabitat heterogeneity to allow wildlife practices. On the contrary, sudden, incorrectly vegetated edges enhance vulnerability to exposure to the sun, wind, and predators. Spread of the edge structure influences the availability of resources, microclimatic conditions, and the suitability of habitat that influence species distribution, behavior, and survival. These structural differences are critical in understanding how to develop a successful conservation strategy for the large herbivores.

Human Disturbances Near Forest Edges

The presence of human activities along forest borders, such as settlements, logging, roads, and vehicle traffic, changes the behavior and habitat utilization of wildlife immensely. Have long-term disruptions, which make life more stressful, interfere with daily foraging, and decrease habitat resistance, causing herbivores to avoid other potentially viable locations. Artificial light, noise, and human activity increase the risk of mortality and disrupt the process of communication and interaction. Such pressures decimate movement and foraging efficiency, and population success in terms of reproductive success, and eventually the long-term viability of large herbivore populations in fragmented landscapes.

Social Behavior in Edge Environments

Changes in Group Dynamics Near Forest Edges

There are frequently sizeable herbivore flocks which shift their organization and structure as they approach forest boundaries. Teams can split or reunite to use the scarcity of resources on edges that will result in short-term or long-term changes in social orders. Considerable displacement or occupying of less desirable spaces is common to the subordinates, aggravating stress and vulnerability. The modification affects the group decision-making process, the foraging performance, and predator avoidance, which have implications for the general stability of a group and their survival in fragmented or edge-dominant terrains.

Competition for Resources at Edge Habitats

Edge habitats usually provide unpredictable or scarce food and water supplies, which increase competition among the individuals. Dominating animals can take up the prime patches, denying subordinates and young animals' access, which can decrease growth and survival rate. This increases competition and changes intergroup social interactions regarding reproductive success. The scarcity can limit the distance of

foraging, or more risky behavior, exposing them to predators and human impact. These pressures may induce lower population resilience and result in redistribution patterns of species at the edges.

Altered Communication and Mating Behaviors

Large herbivores can be interrupted in their natural communication and reproductive behaviors by human activity, noise, and obstructions that hinder their view of the forest edges. Vocalizations and scent marking, mating displays can be diminished or modified to restrict social coordination and reproductive success. Disturbances by anthropogenic factors may lead to behavioral stress, a decrease in mating efficiency, and a decrease in mate selection. Such behavioral transformations not just influence the individual fitness, but also can have ripple effects on group cohesion, population increase, and the sustainability of herbivores in edge-dominated environments.

Population Dynamics and Edge Effects

Decreased Population Size Near Forest Edges

Densities of herbivores tend to reduce towards the forest boundaries as a result of greater predation, human disturbances, and decreased availability of resources. These environments can be occupied by edge-adapted/generalist species that may crowd out interior specialists and change the community structure. Scarcity of food, water, and shelter increases carrying capacity, forcing one to travel more or live in more hazardous places. All these make survival and reproduction decline and result in smaller, less resilient populations. The edge effects that persist may weaken population stability, and demand that the large herbivores are more susceptible to local extinction.

Changes in Species Composition at Edge Habitats

The edge habitats support the generalist and disturbance-tolerant species, and special species within the interior environment tend to decrease and vanish. The selective benefit changes the makeup of communities, decreasing the total biodiversity and ecological complexity. This could interfere with trophic interactions and ecological processes to influence nutrient cycles, seed dispersal, and predator-prey relationships due to the loss of interior specialists. This can change the habitat use patterns and social structure, with adaptable species taking over the edge environments. Such alteration of composition may diminish the resilience of the ecology and decrease the ability of the herbivores to adjust to changes in the environment.

Effects on Long-Term Population Viability

When exposed to edge conditions, there is a potential loss of reproductive success, augmented mortality, and fragmentation of gene pools, degrading the sustainability of a population in the long term. Isolated subpopulations can undergo inbreeding, limitation of genetic diversity, and reduced adaptability to environmental changes. Habitat linkage via corridors or reestablished dispersal routes is also necessary to maintain

population persistence by providing dispersal, gene flow, and recolonization of patches. To maintain long-term population stability, maintain species interactions and ecosystem functioning amid fragmented landscapes, mitigation of edge impacts is essential.

Table 2: Population and Density

Habitat Type	Species	Population Density (individuals/km²)	Juvenile Survival Rate (%)	Reproductive Success (%)
Edge	Deer	12	65	60
Core	Deer	25	85	80
Edge	Antelope	8	60	55
Core	Antelope	20	80	75
Edge	Elephant	3	50	45
Core	Elephant	7	70	65

The results of large herbivores were summarized in table 2 (population density, survival of juveniles in the edge habitat, and reproductive success within various habitats in Table 2). These data reveal greater densities and reproductive success in core habitats than in edges, demonstrating a habitat type effect on the survival, growth, and long-term population sustainability of deer, antelope, and elephants.

Conclusion

The research illustrates that forest margins have a strong impact on the ecology and behavior of big herbivores, changing the microclimates, resource allocation, and availability of the habitat. The density of population, the survival of juveniles, and their reproductive outcomes were always low at the edge habitats in contrast to core forest habitats due to the accumulated environments of predation, human disturbance, and forage levels. The strength of these effects is mediated by edge structure, which is gradual or abrupt; heterogeneous and well-vegetated edges are partial protection. The impact of human activity along edges is an increase in stress levels, an irregularity in movement patterns, hampering the usual social interactions that reduce reproductive success, and a reduction in population viability in the long run. Taken together, these data highlight the vital role of fragmentation and exposed edges as driving factors of their survival and population stability in big herbivores.

Habitat connectivity proves necessary to help reduce edge effects and ensure the long-term population resilience. Connected landscapes allow movement, flow of genes, and consistent social orders, which allow herbivores to discover the resources, retain genetic variation, and adapt to environmental demands. The future study must focus on species-level reactions to edge conditions, gauge the results of corridors, and track the ecological results of restoration projects in the long term. Multi-faceted conservation plans that incorporate using the corridor networks, adaptive management, and community participation are essential in maintaining a

herbivore population, ensuring biodiversity, and better functioning of the ecosystem in the fragmented forests. These interventions will facilitate the sharing of wildlife with a humanized landscape as well as population boost against persistent changes in the landscape.

References

[1] Baguette, Michel, and Hans Van Dyck. "Landscape connectivity and animal behavior: functional grain as a key determinant for dispersal." *Landscape ecology* 22, no. 8 (2007): 1117-1129. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-007-9108-4

[2] Bartel, Savannah L., Leotie Hakkila, and John L. Orrock. "Deer vigilance and movement behavior are affected by edge density and connectivity." *Ethology* 131, no. 8 (2025): 22-30. https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.13574

[3] Blake, Stephen, and Fiona Maisels. "Forest elephant movements in Central Africa: megafauna need megaspaces." In *Movement Ecology of Afrotropical Forest Mammals*, pp. 27-58. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-27030-7_3

[4] Bluhm, Hendrik, Tom A. Diserens, Thomas Engleder, Kaja Heising, Marco Heurich, Tomáš Janík, Miloslav Jirků et al. "Widespread habitat for Europe's largest herbivores, but poor connectivity limits recolonization." *Diversity and Distributions* 29, no. 3 (2023): 423-437. https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.13671

[5] Dixit, A., and N. Raje. "Using Multiple Objective Impact Analysis to Track Sustainable Rehabilitation of Ecosystems." *International Academic Journal of Science and Engineering* 11, no. 3 (2024): 31-34. https://doi.org/10.71086/IAJSE/V11I3/IAJSE1158

[6] Koen, Erin L., Marie I. Tosa, Clayton K. Nielsen, and Eric M. Schauber. "Does landscape connectivity shape local and global social network structure in white-tailed deer?." *PloS one* 12, no. 3 (2017): e0173570. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173570

[7] Mullu, Dagnachew. "A review on the effect of habitat fragmentation on ecosystem." *Journal of Natural Sciences Research* 6, no. 15 (2016): 1-15.

[8] Naha, Dipanjan, Stéphanie Périquet, J. Werner Kilian, Caitlin A. Kupferman, Tammy Hoth-Hanssen, and James C. Beasley. "Fencing affects movement patterns of two large carnivores in Southern Africa." *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 11 (2023): 1031321. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2023.1031321

[9] Patil, Parashram Jakappa. "An ecological analysis of forest accounting." *Environmental Analysis & Ecology Studies* 4, no. 2 (2018): 1-9. https://doi.org/10.9756/IAJIR/V5I1/1810010

[10] Portanier, Elodie, Jeremy Larroque, Mathieu Garel, Pascal Marchand, Daniel

Maillard, Gilles Bourgoin, and Sébastien Devillard. "Landscape genetics matches with behavioral ecology and brings new insight on the functional connectivity in Mediterranean mouflon." *Landscape Ecology* 33, no. 7 (2018): 1069-1085. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-018-0650-z

[11] Punam, Sumit Ramswami, and Pushplata Patel. "Biodiversity Corridors in Fragmented Forest Landscapes: Enhancing Connectivity for Climate-Resilient Ecosystems." *National Journal of Forest Sustainability and Climate Change* (2023): 17-24. https://doi.org/10.17051/NJFSCC/01.01.03

[12] Satapathy, S. N., M. Sunil Kumar, M. P. Srivastava, S. Sharma, N. Mounika, U. Daivagna, and L. Inbathamizh. "The effect of agricultural runoff on freshwater biodiversity and ecosystem functioning." *International Journal of Aquatic Research and Environmental Studies* 5, no. 1 (2025): 440-449. https://doi.org/10.70102/IJARES/V5I1/5-1-40

[13] Zong, Xin, Tiejun Wang, Andrew K. Skidmore, and Marco Heurich. "Habitat visibility affects the behavioral response of a large herbivore to human disturbance in forest landscapes." *Journal of environmental management* 348 (2023): 119244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.119244