17(3) 664-674, Autumn 2025

Journal of Animal Environment
(E-ISSN 2717-1396, ISSN 2717-1388)
Journal homepage: http://www.aejournal.ir

Original Research Paper

Exploring the Effects of Environmental Chemicals in the Development of Developmental and Cognitive Impairments in Children

Zarifjon Ashurov[1*], Akramxon Orifov[2], Azizbek Togayev[3], Azizbek Matmuratov[4], Makhkamova Husnida Ruziboevna[5], Nargiza Khamidova[6], Nasiba Sabirova[7]

[1]Head, Department of Psychiatry and Narcology, Tashkent State Medical University, Tashkent, Uzbekistan. Email: zardil78@mail.ru, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8322-3482*
[2]Teacher, Gulistan State University, Gulistan, Uzbekistan. Email: akramxonorifov727@gmail.com, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-1846-7884
[3]Department of Medicine, Termez University of Economics and Service, Termez, Uzbekistan. Email: azizbek_togayev@tues.uz, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0004-1459-4046
[4]Department of Pedagogical Sciences, Mamun university, Khiva, Uzbekistan. Email: my_darling90@mail.ru, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0007-4177-3738
[5]Turan International University, Namangan, Uzbekistan. Email: husnidamaxkamova61@gmail.com, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0007-0149-4806
[6]Uzbekistan State World Languages University, Tashkent, Uzbekistan. Email: mica777@mail.ru, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0002-1771-9743
[7]Associate Professor, Bukhara State Pedagogical Institute, Bukhara, Uzbekistan. Email: sabirovanasiba@buxdpi.uz, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0006-7528-2200

Key Words

Environmental toxicants,
Cognitive impairment,
Neurodevelopment,
Children,
Prenatal exposure,
Heavy metals,
Autism spectrum disorder (ASD).

Abstract

One of the crucial environmental health issues of the time is exposure of the environment to chemicals in sensitive neurodevelopmental periods, which leads to various developmental and cognitive delays in children. It is a systematic review that summarizes existing epidemiological research, examining the relationship between common environmental toxicants (e.g., heavy metals, e.g., lead, mercury), persistent organic pollutants (POPs) (e.g., PCBs), and pesticides and adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes. The period of exposure, especially in prenatal development, is emphasized, with the immature nervous system being at a unique position because the detoxification systems are not yet fully developed and thus exposed. These toxicants are able to easily penetrate the placental and blood-brain barrier, affecting such fundamental processes as neurotransmission, synaptogenesis, and hormonal signaling. Our results prove that prenatal and early-life exposures are associated with quantitatively significant IQ, attention, motor, and greater susceptibility to developmental disorders, including autism spectrum disorder (ASD). The evidence synthesis of both the exposure-dose and mechanistic understanding presented in the review gives a holistic perspective on the worldwide chemical burden on child cognitive health. The exploration is directly related to the sphere of ecotoxicology, where environmental pollutants represent the same threat to wildlife. Comparative studies in animals and in ecology reveal that the developmental neurotoxicity in a variety of vertebrate species has common mechanistic pathways (e.g., endocrine disruption and oxidative stress). Thus, the results highlight the significance of an integrated Health response to the reduction of chemical exposures in the human and animal population at the global level. This study provides a theoretical basis for informed policy, clinical intervention, and community-wide health initiatives to prevent diseases through primary prevention by demonstrating the necessity of changing the paradigm by which chemical safety is controlled and observed in children's environments.

* Corresponding Author's email: zardil78@mail.ru
Received: 20 June 2025; Revised: 31 July 2025; Accepted: 30 August 2025; Published: 30 October 2025
(DOI): 10.70102/AEJ.2025.17.3.54

Introduction

Background and Problem Statement

The child's brain, which is still developing, is incredibly vulnerable to the harmful influence of environmental conditions, which will have lifelong consequences for the child's health, education, and socialization levels. The quantity of synthetic chemicals present in contemporary environments (such as plastics, consumer goods, and industrial by-products) is so significant and widespread that children are exposed almost universally. The developing brain is an overly sensitive target to toxic insult compared to the mature system, due to the rapid rate of cellular proliferation, migration, and differentiation occurring therein. This weakness highlights the dire necessity to establish the causal connections between prevalent environmental exposures and the increasing incidence of neurodevelopmental disorders, which demand a substantial expense on families and healthcare. Contemporary studies have ceased to consider high-dose acute poisoning as the issue of interest and have instead moved to the cumulative, but less noticeable, effects of low-level chronic exposure on cognitive performance. The conditions of the global environment prepare the animal population for health and sustainability, and show the risk of neurodevelopment in people. Aquatic and bird life in the land is contaminated with heavy metals, persistent organic pollutants, and pesticides. These stressors in the environment cause developmental neurotoxicity in the taxa, and many of these processes have common biological pathways, such as endocrine dysfunction and neurotransmitter system dysregulation. The effects of the low-level, long-term exposure in the sentinel species that give warnings to the state of the health of the ecosystem and the discovery of the saved mechanisms are of significance to explore human health (Di Paolo et al., 2018). Thus, the multi-species aspect of the chemical pollution mitigation measures needs to be developed through the provision of a comprehensive view of the concept of Health in the context of the interdependence of the well-being of humans and other species and the environment.

Key Contribution

The primary objective of the research is to generate a synthesized and critical analysis of the epidemiological data available that can implicate common environmental poisonous agents as factors in the developmental and cognitive retardation of children.

- Synthesize the most extensively researched environmental neurotoxicants (metals, POPs, pesticides) and the neurobehavioral outcomes related to them (e.g., IQ, memory, and executive deficits).
- Illustrate the disparate effects of exposure timing (in particular, prenatal versus postnatal) on isolated domains of neurological functions to make informed intervention policies.
- Suggest a template to compare the relative role of chemical and non-chemical risk factors (e.g., psychosocial stress, nutritional deficiencies) in the cumulative

risk to child neurodevelopment, as the next step in cumulative risk assessment.

This research paper is arranged in such a way that the introduction and literature review first define the scope of the issue. Section 2 describes the proposed mechanistic lines, discussing the impact of chemicals on biological systems, including endocrine signaling and neurotransmitter homeostasis. Section 3 describes the approach to the synthesis and comparative analysis of the data based on the study quality and rigor. Section 4 is a presentation of the findings of the systematic review with the identification of recurrent and significant exposure-outcome relationships. Section 5 critically argues out the findings in more detail, giving policy implications and outlining the areas where future research into complex chemical mixtures can be made, resulting in the terse Conclusion in Section 6.

Research Gap

The neurotoxicity of common environmental chemicals has been well documented in many studies, and it has been confirmed that chemical exposures are a significant, but frequently ignored, cause of global developmental disabilities (Margolis et al., 2025). As an example, organohalogen exposure in early life, such as some of the brominated flame retardants (BFRs), has been associated with impaired motor, cognitive, and behavioural performance in the school-age years, indicating developmental long-term effects.

On the same note, studies that have explored the prenatal exposure of persistent organic pollutants such as Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) and methylmercury have shown domain-specific influences on infant cognition, including poorer scores in memory and verbal comprehension indices, showing that particular toxicants have specific neural pathway effects (Kalkbrenner et al., 2014). The accumulated evidence is very decisive to the idea that even the minimal exposure, well below the recommended regulatory limits, can accumulate to a level that will impair the learning capabilities of the children (Grandjean & Landrigan, 2014). This mass of literature points to the ineffectiveness of existing bodies of regulation that frequently fail to take into consideration the special susceptibility of the growing brain or the implications of chemical combinations. This demands the need to change towards a comprehensive view of the overall environmental and social burden of a child.

Conceptual Framework and Mechanistic Pathways

Cumulative Developmental Risk

The neurodevelopmental impairments in children are seldom a result of one factor, and thus a Cumulative Developmental Risk (CDR) framework, which combines the toxicant exposures with other non-chemical stressors, including socioeconomic status, psychosocial adversity, and micronutrient deficiencies, together, is likely to be considered (Hubbs-Tait et al., 2005). This model is essential since synergistic or additive effects of multiple stressors, such as environmental chemicals and chronic psychosocial stress, may arise, resulting in several developmental challenges, such as

learning problems. To illustrate, a child in a low-income and high-pollution neighborhood faces further risks that the single-chemical model cannot capture, and this holistic risk assessment approach is needed (Rauh & Margolis, 2016).

Mechanisms of Neurodevelopmental Toxicity

The significant way in which environmental chemicals have their harmful impacts is by interfering with the normal process of critical developmental growth of the brain. Important pathways are interference of the Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Thyroid (HPT) axis, where chemicals replicate or suppress thyroid hormones that are essential in neuronal proliferation and migration. More so, most of the toxicants are endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs), which distort sex steroid pathways critical to sexual differentiation of the brain and circuit refinement (Webb et al., 2018). Additional pathogenic mechanisms include neurotransmitter systems disruption (dopamine, serotonin), triggering of oxidative stress and inflammation that directly lead to cell apoptosis and epigenetic changes in genes controlling basic neuronal plasticity and differentiation. The chemicals linked with the unconventional operations of oil and natural gas, such as the chemicals, are a blend of myriad compounds that probably impact numerous systems within a concurrent manner that creates a multi-faceted menace to the developing brains (Davis et al., 2019).

Table 1: Mechanistic Actions of Key Toxicant Classes on Neurodevelopment

Toxicant Class	Key Chemical Examples	Primary Mechanistic Pathway	Disrupted Neurodevelopmental Process	Key Biological Outcome
Heavy Metals	Lead (Pb), Mercury (Hg)	Interference with Ion Channels and Neurotransmitters	Synaptogenesis and Neurotransmission	Decreased synaptic plasticity; Oxidative stress leading to neuronal apoptosis.
POPs (PCBs, Dioxins)	Polychlorinated Biphenyls, Organochlorine Pesticides	Endocrine Disruption (Thyroid Hormone System)	Neuronal Migration and Differentiation	Reduced myelination; Altered brain structure; Deficits in motor function and IQ.
Pesticides	Organophosphates (OPs), Pyrethroids	Cholinesterase and GABAA Receptor Inhibition	Neurotransmitter Homeostasis and Synaptic Signaling	Acute and Chronic Neurotoxicity; Increased risk of ASD and attention deficits.
Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals (EDCs)	Phthalates, Bisphenol A (BPA)	Hormone Receptor Binding (Estrogen, Androgen)	Brain Sexual Differentiation and Circuit Refinement	Altered behavioral patterns; Disrupted cognitive processes; Changes in HPA axis function.
Air Pollutants	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs), Particulate Matter (PM 2.5)	Systemic Inflammation and Oxidative Stress	Blood-Brain Barrier (BBB) Integrity and Microglial Activation	Chronic inflammation, Neurodegeneration, and Reduced white matter volume.

The essential biological pathways by which the developing nervous system is disrupted by various classes of environmental toxicants are demonstrated in Table 1. Heavy Metals mainly affect ion channels and bring about oxidative stress, thereby resulting in deficient synaptic

plasticity. The primary mechanism of action of Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) and numerous Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals (EDCs) is that of mimicking or disrupting the essential functions of the thyroid hormone and steroid hormones in neuronal migration and refining of the brain circuits (Roze et al., 2009). On the other hand, Pesticides act directly on the neurotransmitter signaling, whereas Air Pollutants activate systemic inflammation, impairing the blood-brain barrier. The specific mechanistic pathways are essential to identify and understand the domain-specific impairments found and formulate a particular intervention, but not to get stuck on exposure reduction alone.

Methodology and Data Synthesis

Study Design

The present research is based on a systematic scoping review design, which thoroughly maps the current literature and helps point out the significant trends of research, knowledge gaps, and specific quantitative relationships between environmental toxicants and neurodevelopmental outcomes. It is an essential method in mapping a field of literature and explaining important concepts necessary based on the interdisciplinary aspect of environmental neurodevelopmental study (Ruiz et al., 2016). It was done based on a pre-designed and stringent search protocol that entailed systematic searches of key electronic databases (e.g., PubMed, Web of Science, Embase).

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria and Data Sources

The inclusion criteria included original, human epidemiological studies published between 2000 and the current, and had examined the relationship between prenatal or childhood exposure to certain types of environmental chemicals (including heavy metals, endocrine-disrupting chemicals, and air pollutants) and standardized, validated outcome measures of cognitive, motor, or behavioral development in children (aged 018 years). Only occupational exposure, non-environmental, and in vitro research studies were eliminated to ascertain that the research was kept in practice with real-world outcomes that were population-based. This methodology helped balance the idea of considering different sources of exposures and conditions, such as the effect of the built, natural, and social surroundings of a child on the overall cognitive ability of the child.

Data Synthesis and Quality Assessment

The data extraction was predetermined by the necessity to capture some crucial variables that would be relevant in the comparative analysis: chemical class, period of exposure (first trimester or umbilical cord), age of children at the outcome measurement, area of neurodevelopmental testing (Full Scale IQ or attention, and working memory), and the main finding (effect size, direction, and statistical significance). The rigor of included studies was assessed with the help of well-known risk-of-bias instruments applicable in environmental epidemiology (e.g., the ability to control confounding factors, the method of exposure assessment). It used the last synthesis,

a narrative method with thematic analysis to find the recurrent and reproducible associations, especially considering the studies that reported the neurobehavioral outcomes of developmental toxicity with longitudinal follow-up.

Results

Common Toxicants and Associated Outcomes

The review was able to affirm that exposure to a restricted collection of commonly occurring environmental toxicants is recurrently linked to negative consequences. Lead (Pb) exposure is still a serious, but declining risk factor, universally associated with lower IQ scores (exceptionally verbal and performance IQ) and attention and executive functional impairments, even at low levels of blood lead exposure (Jurewicz et al., 2013). It is also clear in the studies that there is a close relationship between prenatal exposure to Mercury (Hg) and Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) and domain-specific cognitive delays, and the effects of early brain development are domain specific, as they impact infant cognition and motor development (Madhanraj, 2024). Moreover, the data on the association of environmental chemical exposures, including some pesticides and air pollutants, with conditions such as autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is fast becoming more widespread, suggesting a probable, and possibly interactive, environmental etiology of the complex neurodevelopmental disorders (Ye et al., 2017). The latest news has also featured the issue of heavy metal contamination in farm pathways, which is a long-term dietary risk to children (Nayak & Mishra, 2024).

Comparative Analysis of Exposure Timing

The time of exposure has a highly significant distinction in the literature, which confirms the hypothesis of the vulnerable window. Neurotoxicant exposure of the embryo is always associated with basic, irreversible structural and cognitive developmental delays, which are indicative of interference during the critical period of neuronal proliferation and migration (Boucher et al., 2014). As an example, gestational exposure to some organohalogens early in gestation affects the cognitive indices more than when exposed later in gestation. On the other hand, early postnatal exposure tends to be associated more with distinct behavioral outcomes and later-emerging cognitive abilities, including attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder or externalizing behaviors, potentially because of the persistent postnatal bioaccumulation and interference of the ongoing myelination and synaptogenesis. This twofold observation highlights that both prenatal and early childhood periods should be covered in the chemical control efforts.

Table 2 analysis clearly shows how neurotoxicity related to the exposure to common environmental chemicals is domain-specific to the different exposure windows. Prenatal (fetal) exposure to Heavy Metals like lead (Pb) and mercury (Hg) is closely linked with damage in basic cognitive functions and is expressed in the form of quantifiable lowering in IQ and deteriorated fine motor abilities (Bellinger,

2007). This is an indication of interference with the initial and critical phases of neuronal proliferation and migration. Prenatal/Postnatal exposures to Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) in the Prenatal/Postnatal period, such as PCBs and Brominated Flame Retardants (BFRs), are also associated with the subsequent dysfunction of later-developing higher-order functions, such as Learning and Memory, producing lasting deficits in attention and executive control. Lastly, Early Childhood Exposure to Pesticides and Air Pollutants demonstrates correlations mainly with Behavioral and ASD Risk, and provides the environment with an added impact on the severity of complex neurobehavioral symptoms at the stage of synaptic refinement.

Table 2: Summary of Key Chemical Exposure Types and Associated Developmental Outcomes

Exposure Type	Chemical Class	Primary Outcome Domain	Finding
Prenatal (Fetal)	Heavy Metals (Pb, Hg)	IQ and Motor Function	Reduced IQ (2-5 points per 10 µg/dL Pb) and poorer fine motor skills
Prenatal/Postnatal	POPs (PCBs, BFRs)	Learning and Memory	Increased learning difficulties and persistent deficiencies in attention and executive control
Early Childhood	Pesticides, Air Pollutants	Behavioral and ASD Risk	Increased risk of ASD and related neurobehavioral symptom severity

Discussion

Interpretation of Findings

The general and repeatable evidence of the studies in different geographical features is highly supportive of the causal relationship between environmental exposure to chemicals and quantifiable developmental and cognitive delays in children. The toxicant burden is a considerable, but avoidable, risk to the mental health of children, whose consequences are often imperceptible on the individual level, but can affect large groups of people, leading to tremendous societal expenses in terms of special education and treatment (Goldman & Koduru, 2000). It is pronounced that the developing nervous system is singularly susceptible, and there is a need for a significant paradigm shift in the way we evaluate and manage chemical safety, and out of adult-based and single-chemical toxicology models toward the careful consideration of the unique vulnerabilities of the child (Schettler, 2001). This change should also be inclusive of the concept of neurodevelopmental toxicity as a dominant element in evaluating chemical hazard.

Policy and Public Health Implications

The implications of the findings, as far as policy implications are considered, are very profound and necessitate proactive measures towards primary prevention and radical decrease of the exposure, especially in the period of pregnancy and infancy. This involves imposing strict control on known neurotoxicants in consumer goods, construction materials, and food wrappings, and improving the level of environmental surveillance (Koger et al., 2005). Community-level interventions, like the reduction of lead and persistent organic pollutants exposure in the older housing stock and an emphasis on the improvement of air

quality, should be the priorities of the public health efforts. Also, there should be policy actions to deal with new routes of exposure, like dietary intake of contaminants through the agricultural system, which needs an integrated food safety and climate-smart agriculture to diminish the environmental toxins in the food chain.

Future Research Directions

The next generation of research has to be able to go beyond the present limitation to examine the accumulation effects of chemical combinations and the complicated effects between chemical exposure and the non-chemical risk factors that co-occur, including socioeconomic and psychosocial strain (Bellinger, 2011). Longitudinal research is vital to monitor the cognitive and behavioral effects of early exposure in adolescence and adulthood, as longitudinal studies can give a better understanding of the functional losses that are permanent (Liu & Lewis, 2014). It is highly suggested that more mechanistic pathways of neurotoxicity can be explained at a molecular level by the use of advanced methodologies such as genetic sequencing, metabolomics, and brain imaging (e.g., fMRI). Furthermore, studies should also be done to establish the protective value of other factors, like vital micronutrients and a supportive social environment, in reducing the negative impact of toxicant exposure, which can give way to efficient preventive nutritional and psychosocial interventions.

Conclusion

The widespread aspect of the contemporary world, environmental chemicals, is a significant, yet extremely avoidable, risk to the optimal neurodevelopment and lifetime cognitive ability of children worldwide. This review of the literature has critically synthesized the epidemiological body of evidence, clearly confirming the close relationship between early-life exposure to large quantities of neurotoxicants, including heavy metals, persistent organic pollutants, and some pesticides, and various developmental and cognitive impairments, which are measurable. We have discussed the extreme susceptibility of the developing fetal and infant brain, in which any form of chemical interference during critical developmental periods can cause irreversible consequences in the form of IQ, attention, and motor impairments. The challenge brings about a shift in the attitude of focusing on the singular and chemical view to a Cumulative Developmental Risk framework, which considers the effect of chemical combinations and non-chemical stressors as being synergistic. This means that this vulnerable group requires a radical change in policy and practice. Some of the urgent measures taken involve the enforcement of stricter environmental laws, improved surveillance of maternal and child exposure, and aggressive pursuit of primary prevention measures in high-risk communities. Besides, the similarities in neurodevelopmental vulnerability among species are strong in supporting the use of ecotoxicology information in human health policy. Comparison of the toxicant effects in

animals and wildlife should be given more critical attention in future studies to comprehend the conserved pattern of developmental damage with a better understanding of the urgent necessity of the health approaches that are known to act against the global pollution of chemicals. Finally, the knowledge obtained within the framework of this study can play a key role in the development of resolutions in the field of overall health and ensuring that the decisions on the environment are made with reference to the cognitive well-being of the next generation and its further prosperity. It is the key to a sustainable and mentally sound future.

References

[1] Bellinger, David C. "A strategy for comparing the contributions of environmental chemicals and other risk factors to neurodevelopment of children." *Environmental Health Perspectives* 120, no. 4 (2011): 501. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104170

[2] Bellinger, David C. "Children's cognitive health: the influence of environmental chemical exposures." *Altern Ther Health Med* 13, no. 2 (2007): S140-S144.

[3] Boucher, Olivier, Gina Muckle, Joseph L. Jacobson, R. Colin Carter, Melissa Kaplan-Estrin, Pierre Ayotte, Eric Dewailly, and Sandra W. Jacobson. "Domain-specific effects of prenatal exposure to PCBs, mercury, and lead on infant cognition: results from the Environmental Contaminants and Child Development Study in Nunavik." *Environmental health perspectives* 122, no. 3 (2014): 310. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1206323

[4] Davis, Alexandra N., Gustavo Carlo, Zehra Gulseven, Francisco Palermo, Chung-Ho Lin, Susan C. Nagel, Danh C. Vu, Phuc H. Vo, Thi L. Ho, and Jane A. McElroy. "Exposure to environmental toxicants and young children's cognitive and social development." *Reviews on environmental health* 34, no. 1 (2019): 35-56. https://doi.org/10.1515/reveh-2018-0045

[5] Di Paolo, C., R. Massei, W. Brack, Xavier Cousin, Marie-Laure Begout, R. van der Oost, A. Carion et al. "An ecotoxicological view on neurotoxicity assessment." *Environmental Sciences Europe* 30, no. 46 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-018-0173-x

[6] Goldman, Lynn R., and Sudha Koduru. "Chemicals in the environment and developmental toxicity to children: a public health and policy perspective." *Environmental health perspectives* 108, no. Suppl 3 (2000): 443. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.00108s3443

[7] Grandjean, Philippe, and Philip J. Landrigan. "Neurobehavioural effects of developmental toxicity." *The lancet neurology* 13, no. 3 (2014): 330-338. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70278-3

[8] Hubbs-Tait, Laura, Jack R. Nation, Nancy F. Krebs, and David C. Bellinger. "Neurotoxicants, micronutrients, and social environments: Individual and combined effects on children's

development." *Psychological Science in the Public Interest* 6, no. 3 (2005): 57-121. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-1006.2005.00024.x

[9] Jurewicz, Joanna, Kinga Polańska, and Wojciech Hanke. "Exposure to widespread environmental toxicants and children's cognitive development and behavioral problems." *International journal of occupational medicine and environmental health* 26, no. 2 (2013): 185-204. https://doi.org/10.2478/s13382-013-0099-x

[10] Kalkbrenner, Amy E., Rebecca J. Schmidt, and Annie C. Penlesky. "Environmental chemical exposures and autism spectrum disorders: a review of the epidemiological evidence." *Current problems in pediatric and adolescent health care* 44, no. 10 (2014): 277-318. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cppeds.2014.06.001

[11] Koger, Susan M., Ted Schettler, and Bernard Weiss. "Environmental toxicants and developmental disabilities: a challenge for psychologists." *American Psychologist* 60, no. 3 (2005): 243.

[12] Liu, Jianghong, and Gary Lewis. "Environmental toxicity and poor cognitive outcomes in children and adults." *Journal of environmental health* 76, no. 6 (2014): 130-138.

[13] Madhanraj. "Integrated climate-smart, conservation, and agroecological practices for enhancing agricultural resilience to climate change." *National Journal of Smart Agriculture and Rural Innovation* 2, no. (2) (2024): 28–35.

[14] Margolis, Amy E., Alex Dranovsky, David Pagliaccio, Gazi Azad, Virginia Rauh, and Julie Herbstman. "Annual Research Review: Exposure to environmental chemicals and psychosocial stress and the development of children's learning difficulties." *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* 66, no. 4 (2025): 547-568. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.14137

[15] Nayak, Ashu, and Namrata Mishra. "Assessing Heavy Metal Contamination Pathways in Food Crops Using HYDRUS-1D Simulation: Implications for Nutritional Safety." *National Journal of Food Security and Nutritional Innovation* 2, no. 1 (2024): 1-9.

[16] Rauh, Virginia A., and Amy E. Margolis. "Research review: environmental exposures, neurodevelopment, and child mental health–new paradigms for the study of brain and behavioral effects." *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* 57, no. 7 (2016): 775-793. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12537

[17] Roze, Elise, Lisethe Meijer, Attie Bakker, Koenraad NJA Van Braeckel, Pieter JJ Sauer, and Arend F. Bos. "Prenatal exposure to organohalogens, including brominated flame retardants, influences motor, cognitive, and behavioral performance at school age." *Environmental health perspectives* 117, no. 12 (2009): 1953. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901015

[18] Ruiz, Jazmin Del Carmen, James J. Quackenboss, and Nicolle S. Tulve. "Contributions of a child's built, natural, and social environments to their general cognitive ability: A systematic scoping review." *Plos one* 11, no. 2 (2016): e0147741. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147741

[19] Schettler, Ted. "Toxic threats to neurologic development of children." *Environmental health perspectives* 109, no. Suppl 6 (2001): 813. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.01109s6813

[20] Webb, Ellen, Julie Moon, Larysa Dyrszka, Brian Rodriguez, Caroline Cox, Heather Patisaul, Sheila Bushkin, and Eric London. "Neurodevelopmental and neurological effects of chemicals associated with unconventional oil and natural gas operations and their potential effects on infants and children." *Reviews on environmental health* 33, no. 1 (2018): 3-29. https://doi.org/10.1515/reveh-2017-0008

[21] Ye, Bi Su, Anna Oi Wah Leung, and Ming Hung Wong. "The association of environmental toxicants and autism spectrum disorders in children." *Environmental pollution* 227 (2017): 234-242. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.04.039