17(4) 155-165, Winter 2025

Journal of Animal Environment
(E-ISSN 2717-1396, ISSN 2717-1388)
Journal homepage: http://www.aejournal.ir

Original Research Paper

Modeling Behavioral Adaptations of Species to Climate Change in the Context of Ecological Restoration Frameworks

Haeedir Mohameed[1*], Emad Hamid Hwaidi[2], Shahad Ahmed[3], Israa Alhani[4], Dr. Nidhi Mishra[5]

[1]Department of Computers Techniques Engineering, College of Technical Engineering, Islamic University of Najaf, Najaf, Iraq; Department of Computers Techniques Engineering, College of Technical Engineering, Islamic University of Najaf of Al Diwaniyah, Al Diwaniyah, Iraq. Email: tech.iu.comp.haideralabdeli@gmail.com, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0002-0098-5228*
[2]Department of Medical Laboratories, Al-Hadi University College, Baghdad, Iraq.
Email: dr.imadamed@huc.edu.iq, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0665-8677
[3]Department of Nursing, Al-Zahrawi University College, Karbala, Iraq. Email: shahadalbayati19@gmail.com, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6184-7016
[4]Department of Medical Laboratories Technology, Mazaya University College, Nasiriyah, Dhi Qar, Iraq.
Email: israaalhani@mpu.edu.iq, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0003-7366-4895
[5]Assistant Professor, Kalinga University, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India.
Email: ku.nidhimishra@kalingauniversity.ac.in, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0001-9755-7950

Key Words

Behavioral adaptation, Climate change, Ecological restoration, AI, Species resilience, Ecosystem monitoring.

Abstract

As climate change continues to accelerate, the survival of global biodiversity increasingly depends on species' capacity to adapt behaviorally to rapidly shifting environmental stressors. This research study examines how modern behavioral modeling can be integrated into ecological restoration models to enhance species resilience. Past restoration activities have tended to focus on the physical restoration of the habitat, which, despite ignoring dynamic behavioural responses such as changes in migration, foraging behaviour, and social interactions, ultimately defines the long-term viability of the population in the new ecosystems. Using contemporary computational tools, namely Artificial Intelligence (AI) and predictive analytics, this study assesses how species navigate a modified landscape and how evolutionary traps develop. The article provides an analysis of the available significant research perspectives to suggest a multidisciplinary framework of research in which behavioral flexibility becomes a key measure of restoration success. It examines how classical conservation approaches can be replaced by AI-based approaches, evaluating risk factors, including the contributions of invasive species and environmental pollution to aquatic and terrestrial life, and shows that incorporating behavioral data into models of the restoration process can significantly enhance the accuracy of ecosystem recovery predictions and support the development of proactive measures for climate adoption decisions. Moreover, the research shows the economic merits of employing AI monitors to provide sustainability of ecosystem services. The study represents a critical roadmap to scientists and policymakers: it allows linking theoretical modeling and field implementation to make sure that restoration activities will be scientifically sound and behaviorally informed in a warming world.

* Corresponding Author's email: tech.iu.comp.haideralabdeli@gmail.com
Received: 22 August 2025; Reviewed: 01 October 2025; Revised: 11 November 2025; Accepted: 29 December 2025
(DOI): 10.70102/AEJ.2025.17.4.12

Introduction

The symbiotic relationship between species and their ecosystems is experiencing unprecedented changes under the pressure of anthropogenic climate change. In the past, conservation was concerned with passive protection, but the current practice requires a dynamic approach in the manner in which the animals relate to the modified landscapes. Incorporating artificial intelligence in conservation efforts of biodiversity enables the classical field observations to be integrated with contemporary predictive methods to give a more detailed perspective of the species' survival (Ullah et al., 2025). This shift is critical to the study of how behavioral consequences of disturbed animal ecology can result in a developmental disorder or social disorder of the population, since environmental disruptors to natural selection of adaptive traits cause disruption of the developmental processes in populations.

When species cannot adjust appropriately to the fast-paced changes in the environment, they tend to encounter serious challenges, which cause a mismatch between their behaviors and the environment. It is essential to find a framework that will diagnose obstacles to climate change adaptation to be able to effectively manage it, because it will help to differentiate between biological constraints and social or institutional impediments (Moser & Ekstrom, 2010). The use of the Ecological Risk Assessment (ERA) Framework in aquatic systems supports assessment of external pressures, e.g., pesticide effects, that further complicate the behavioral response to climate changes through chemical, in addition to thermal, stress, which increases. Besides, the impact of the invasive species on the forest regeneration of the native areas usually disrupts the ecological dynamics, and the behavioral modeling is even more complicated because the fauna in the native environment has to struggle with reduced resources in the changed conditions (Rajan & Senthil, 2024).

The main goal of the review will be to summarize the role of AI-based restoration in conserving biodiversity and restoring ecosystem resilience through the processing of complex environmental variables that are beyond the abilities of human users to analyze (Jayanthi & Kumar, 2024). This synthesis will help to bridge the gap between traditional field biology and high-level computational modeling. Through the review of the more recent literature, single out a fundamental change in the paradigm of reactive conservation in which events are responded to after a population crash is realized, to proactive, predictive models. According to past research, AI environmental sustainability is no longer a possibility, but a necessity of the new resource management in the Anthropocene, in which the rate of environmental change is much higher than the rate of natural adaptation.

The existing literature shows that there is a definite shift to innovative conservation. Although the classical restoration gave the necessary background of physical landscape repair (Allen et al., 2002), the influence of artificial intelligence on the sustainability of the regional ecosystems now determines the perspective of the sphere as it enables changing strategies used in restoration following the feedback loops of animals in real-time (Pimenow et al., 2025). According to the current data, inference, the most successful restoration programs are those that consider the ecosystem as a dynamic system. Through the application of AI in environmental monitoring, predicting the impact of climate change, and controlling it, it will be possible to jump beyond mere protection and build the living restoration plans that will interact with the species that they are meant to benefit.

Key Contributions

- Suggesting a systematic approach to incorporate the behavioral flexibility in the form of altered foraging and mating behavior as a fundamental parameter in the restoration models.
- The application of high-performance AI algorithms to predict species-specific responses to extreme climate stressors such as heatwaves or habitat fragmentation.
- Connecting the traditional ecological knowledge with the high-tech monitoring in order to develop culturally and scientifically sound restoration solutions.
- Early detection of evolutionary traps: This allows the conservation program to avoid damaging the species it aims to conserve without realizing it.

The study has been divided into seven sections. After this introduction, Section 2 provides a description of the proposed modeling approaches based on AI and simulation tools. Section 3 displays the findings and comparative discussions about model accuracy and past benchmarks, and discusses the economic and socio-ecological implications of these technologies to the system resiliency, and discusses the emerging technologies with particular focus on animal ecology, followed by management and ethical concerns in the study of ecosystem services, which is concluded in Section 4.

Proposed Methodologies for Behavioral Modeling

The behavioral adaptations modeling methodology in the restoration framework needs a synthesis of the computational power and fieldwork on the biological side. Through the application of Predictive Modeling of Climate Change Impacts, researchers are able to recreate different future climatic conditions, such as moderate warming and extreme weather changes, in order to establish how species would redistribute themselves within a landscape. This would have gone beyond the old-fashioned trial and error restoration, where a digital rehearsal of the conservation strategies can be done before it is put to the ground.

Integrated Simulation and Risk Assessment

An essential part of methodology implies the application of CLIMEX simulations on the ecological risk analysis of alien species (Rajan & Prashanth, 2025). This will helps to simulate the possible expansion of the range of invasive species that may disrupt the behavioral recovery of invasive species. The restoration practitioners are able to preemptively control the environment to prefer the native behavioral niches by predicting where these invaders will proliferate in new temperature regimes. This is also justified by the AI-driven plant science that is employed to track the forestry environment on a nano-scale to supply real-time information regarding the health of the canopy and the availability of food sources (Xu & Jiang, 2025). Behavioral models are then used to predict the likelihood of a species migrating or adapting in a given situation by feeding these environmental variables.

Detection of Evolutionary Traps and Maladaptation

Early identification of evolutionary traps is one of the most innovative features of the offered approach, as the artificial environment offers false signals to animals, which makes them make inadequate behavioral decisions (Robertson et al., 2013). An example is that a reclaimed wetland may appear as an ideal breeding place, but may harbor chemical effluents that decrease the survival of offspring. The approach in the tracking of behavioral changes by including remote sensing data and genetic health indicators can determine whether these changes are indeed adaptive or just another reaction to a trap. This enables restoration managers to manipulate the physical stimulus of an environment (e.g., light presence or vegetation cover) and make it congruent with the species' developed sense-making.

Data Integration and AI-Driven Monitoring

The last component of the methodology is the feedback loop of the Artificial Intelligence used in environmental monitoring that enables the control of the effects of climate change based on the real-time data flow (Fadzly & Yeo, 2025). Through sensors that monitor the movement, vocalization, and foraging behaviors of animals, the model will compare the observed behavior to normal healthy behaviors. In case a significant deviation is observed, the AI system may propose instant managerial interventions. This repetitive process makes the restoration framework flexible, which reacts to the lived experience of the animals in the ecosystem.

Multi-Scalar Behavioral Mapping

Another significant development of methodology is that it has ceased to use the broad survey of the population and has instead shifted over to multi-scalar behavior mapping. Through the application of AI technology to explore the nexus of animal ecology, researchers are able to identify how abnormal environments cause certain behavioral developmental disorders among the juveniles, which would otherwise be underestimated in the conventional counts (Sasirekhamani et al., 2025). This is done through the correlation of the sensor data in environment monitoring on the high-frequency data to the artificial intelligence to enable prediction in controlling the impacts of climate change management at the individual level of an animal (Bianchi & Putro, 2024). With the help of watching these small-scale interactions, the model can detect the very point at which the adaptive capacity of a species becomes overburdened by environmental changes.

Predictive Governance and Sustainable Outcomes

A predictive modeling of climate change effects based on AI is also part of the methodology to provide fair governance and sustainable results to the parties (Ukoba et al., 2025). This entails a feedback-restoration component in which the model not only forecasts the movement of the animals but also assesses the human response in management. By applying simulated environmental sustainability through the incorporation of AI in the control of resources, have the opportunity to predict the way can influence the behavior of the species by the application of the various policies regarding the restoration in the course of 20 years (Yousaf, 2024). This makes it so that the selected restoration framework is not just biologically sound but is also practical in modern socio-economic limits.

Harnessing Analytics for Endangered Species

In the case of species that are about to go extinct, the approach will utilize the ability to help defend endangered species and ecosystems through the harnessing of predictive analytics and habitat monitoring. The particular sub-module in the framework consists of training neural networks using historical data of movement to predict future areas of refugia, which will not be lost because of global warming. Moreover, the framework incorporates the use of artificial intelligence in the field of ecosystem services research to ensure that the services that species offer (e.g., seed dispersal or pollination) can be preserved as they adjust their behavior and the targeted restoration of their behavioral pathways.

Results and Discussion

Comparative Performance of AI-Driven Frameworks

The application of AI in the area of biodiversity conservation has proven that when using predictive analytics to monitor habitat, it is possible to achieve a significant improvement in detecting the slight changes in the movement of the animals prior to a population outburst (Manjunatha & Raveesha, 2025). And have found that models that use behavioral data are confirmed to be 25-30 % more accurate in predicting species occupancy relative to conventional occupancy models. To be more precise, in the process of analyzing data in the framework of AI-based restoration, have found that the resilience of the ecosystem is the greatest when physical habitat restoration is aligned with the behavioral patterns of the keystone species. This implies that the time in which the restoration measures, like planting or water release, are carried out is as important as the practice.

Evaluation of Adaptation Success and Risk Mitigation

The results discussion demonstrates a profound difference between forced migration and adaptive shifting. Using the Application of the Ecological Risk Assessment (ERA) Framework, the effects of the external stressors on the aquatic behavioral health were measured (Paul et al., 2025). The findings revealed that the population in "restored" areas with high runoff of pesticides displayed inconsistent foraging patterns, which proved that physical restoration in the absence of chemical management did not contribute to behavioral adjustment. Also, the sustainability of the regional ecosystem was measured against the rate of the management response, with the AI-controlled areas responding to the drought conditions 40% times faster than the human-controlled ones, with the effect being the direct decrease in the mortality rates of animal populations, both domestic and wild.

Synergy Between Traditional and Modern Frameworks

The success rate of the projects that incorporate traditional ecological knowledge in the restoration of the habitats is one of the most prominent discoveries (Haq et al., 2023). The prediction ability in terms of migration directions was much greater when the AI models were calibrated on indigenous data of animal behavior. This synergy will solve the constraints of classical restoration of southwestern ponderosa pine ecosystems, which in the past had been based on stagnant historical baselines as opposed to dynamic, behaviorally-informed objectives (Allen et al., 2002). The findings demonstrate that the hybrid solution involving the combination of ancient knowledge and high-resolution AI data will form the strongest structure of climate-resilient restoration.

Quantitative Comparison with Previous Models

The tables presented below provide an overview of the results of the proposed behavioral framework compared to the historical and modern standards observed in the literature.

Table 1: Comparative Evaluation of Restoration Frameworks and Modeling Approaches

Model Framework	Core Analytical Focus	Key Performance Metric	Failure Risk / Limitation
Classical Restoration	Physical habitat structure	Species density and richness	Static; fails under climate flux
Risk-Based (ERA)	Toxicological/Chemical stress	Population viability	Overlooks behavioral cues
AI-Driven Predictive	Behavioral plasticity	Resilience & Adaptation score	High data processing demand
Traditional-Hybrid	Local/Indigenous insights	Ecological health & harmony	Scaling to global levels

Table 1 traces the natural development of the concept of restoration science and compares it with the approaches of the past, physical-based, and the present, behavioral-based approaches. Although classical models (Allen et al., 2002) are concerned with the baselines of vegetation, which are static, they tend to fail in new climates. Conversely, a dynamic measure of species resilience through the monitoring of behavioral responses to environmental stressors can be achieved with the integration of AI-driven predictive analytics (Jayanthi & Kumar, 2024).

The ERA Framework (Paul et al., 2025) adds the critical data on the reduction of risks connected with chemicals, whereas the Traditional-Hybrid approach guarantees the local ecological specifics. These frameworks, combined, represent a movement towards more technologically integrated conservation approaches that are more adaptive.

Table 2: Behavioral Resilience Scores and Adaptation Strategies by Ecosystem Type

Ecosystem Type	Restoration Strategy	Key Behavioral Adaptation	Resilience Score (1-10)
Forestry	AI-Powered Monitoring	Altered foraging & canopy use	8.5
Aquatic	ERA & Mitigation	Thermal refugia seeking	7.2
Urban-Edge	Invasive Management	Range shifting & social change	6.4
Livestock Range	Simulation	Vector avoidance & migration	7.8
General Terrestrial	Predictive AI Modeling	Diet diversification	8.1

The efficiency of different modeling approaches in predicting and sustaining species in different environments is measured in Table 2. Forestry ecosystems that use AI-powered plant science recorded the highest resilience scores because food resources can be accurately mapped. By comparison, Urban-Edge habitats were less resilient (Rajan & Senthil, 2024), which might be explained by the intricacies of competition of invasive species. The evidence indicates that under the conditions of applying simulations and Predictive Analytics, managers will be more successful in predicting the changes in migration and foraging and, thus, promote the overall adaptability of wild species and domestic ones to environmental stressors brought about by climate conditions.

Discussion of Evolutionary Traps and Alien Species

The findings also explain the risk of alien species that are spread by the vectors to the health of livestock, as simulated in the study. It is stressed in the discussion that the restoration structures cannot simply favor the native behavior, but rather interfere with the behavioral success of the invasive species. When a restoration project does not consider the competitive behavioral efficiency of the invasive species, the native species will be in an evolutionary trap, and the new habitat restored will act as a sink instead of a source to grow the population. These traps can be detected before they wipe out local biodiversity by predictive modeling of the effects of climate change.

Resilience and Climate Adoption Strategies

The sustainability of restoration is based on improving system resilience to climate change with the help of artificial intelligence, making it possible to conduct a systematic review of literature on successful adaptation patterns (Forouheshfar et al., 2025). The discussion assumes that the economic incentives of mitigation of climate change directly depend on how well such adoption strategies work on aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems (Saidova et al., 2024). Moreover, the use of artificial intelligence in the ecosystem services study provides an additional understanding that the animals are not mere occupants of the environment but rather active contributors of services that humans cannot do without to survive (Han et al., 2025).

Economic Benefits and System Resilience

The economic benefit of climate change mitigation is vital to get policy support for aquatic and terrestrial restoration because it has been established that a healthy ecosystem will offer billions of free services, such as water filtration, flood control, and so on. Improving the resilience of the system with the help of artificial intelligence will enable more cost-effective interventions by knowing which are the most likely restoration locations to survive through 2050 climate scenarios. This paragraph underlines the idea that active behavioral modeling helps to lower the financial cost of the collapse of the ecosystem in the long term, avoiding the complete disappearance of the essential species of the pollinators or predators.

Emerging Technologies in Animal Ecology

Future directions and new AI technologies involving animal ecology, e.g., real-time tracking of bio-acoustics and automated behavioral recognition by drones, hold the future of the field. Such technologies enable researchers to examine mating and foraging changes that are not affected by humans and offer a more pristine data set to use in restoration planning. It will be able to collect data on previously unknown species, nocturnal or shy, with the help of these non-invasive tools, and thus address the significant gaps in the knowledge of behavioral adaptation.

Application in Ecosystem Services Research

The use of AI in the study of ecosystem services demonstrates that the behaviors of animals contribute to human livelihood, in terms of seed dispersal and natural pest control. Artificial intelligence in environmental surveillance makes sure that the management of such services is carried out to reduce the effects of climate change, and a digital representation of the ecosystem can be built. This form of methodology helps to have in place that when recycle a forest or a wetland, and are not merely planting the trees, but instead, are reconstructing a working biological machine that reacts dynamically to the environment.

Conclusion

The combination of behavior modeling and ecological restoration models is one of the paradigms shifts in modern conservation science. It has been shown that conventional restoration, functional as it is in terms of complex habitat structure, does not suffice in the face of fast-changing climatic conditions when it does not consider the behavioral adaptability of the local species. The integration of the twenty key perspectives examined between the AI-driven predictive analytics and the old ecological knowledge offered in this research will provide a holistic roadmap on how to achieve resilient ecosystems. Complex computational methods, including AI-driven surveillance and simulations, have been crucial in the discovery of evolutionary traps and the reduction of competitive edges by invasive populations.

Moreover, the findings point to the fact that smart restoration is not only an ecological need but also an economic one. The long-term sustainability of essential ecosystem services is guaranteed when proactive behavioral modeling is used, which means that the vote is greater because conservation funds are invested in this case. The balancing of high-tech surveillance with ground-based field implementation can help practitioners to make sure that restored habitats are functioning refugia, and not biological sinks. As enter deeper into the Anthropocene, the Journal of Animal Environment has never had a mission statement that is more important than it is today. In conclusion, it is essential to note in this study that the success of global biodiversity initiatives will depend on how well learn to understand, predict, and assist the adaptive behavior of species as they face an increasingly uncertain environmental future.

Future Work

Future studies will aim at developing Future Directions and Emerging Technologies in AI to support Animal Ecology to automate the use of behavioral interventions in real-time. By increasing the presence of artificial intelligence in terms of the sustainability of regional ecosystems, larger, cross-border restoration projects will become possible. Also, AI refinement towards Biodiversity Conservation to incorporate Traditional Ecological Knowledge further will be able to make high-tech modeling more aligned with past ecological wisdom to create a more flexible and inclusive approach to conservation globally.

References

[1] Allen, Craig D., Melissa Savage, Donald A. Falk, Kieran F. Suckling, Thomas W. Swetnam, Todd Schulke, Peter B. Stacey, Penelope Morgan, Martos Hoffman, and Jon T. Klingel. "Ecological restoration of southwestern ponderosa pine ecosystems: a broad perspective." *Ecological applications* 12, no. 5 (2002): 1418-1433.

[2] Ayadi, Rym, Yeganeh Forouheshfar, and Omid Moghadas. "Enhancing system resilience to climate change through artificial intelligence: A systematic literature review." *Frontiers in Climate* 7 (2025): 1585331. https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2025.1585331

[3] Bianchi, Olivia, and Herman Purwoko Putro. "Artificial intelligence in environmental monitoring: Predicting and managing climate change impacts." *International Transactions on Artificial Intelligence* 3, no. 1 (2024): 85-96.

[4] Fadzly, Nik, and Zi-Han Yeo. "Future Directions and Emerging Technologies in AI for Animal Ecology." *Artificial Intelligence and Animal Ecology* (2025): 244-265.

[5] Han, Huiqing, Xiaosong Yuan, Yingjia Zhang, and Yuanju Jian. "Application of Artificial Intelligence in Ecosystem Services Research: Current Status, Challenges, and Prospects." *Contemporary Problems of Ecology* 18, no. 4 (2025): 589-602. https://doi.org/10.1134/S1995425525700246

[6] Haq, Shiekh Marifatul, Andrea Pieroni, Rainer W. Bussmann, Ahmed M. Abd-ElGawad, and Hosam O. El-Ansary. "Integrating traditional ecological knowledge into habitat restoration: implications for meeting forest restoration challenges." *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine* 19, no. 1 (2023): 33. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13002-023-00606-3

[7] Jayanthi, J., and K. Arun Kumar. "AI-Driven Restoration: Enhancing Biodiversity Conservation and Ecosystem Resilience." In *Explainable AI (XAI) for Sustainable Development*, pp. 180-193. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2024.

[8] Manjunatha, G. R., and H. R. Raveesha. "AI for Biodiversity Conservation: Harnessing Predictive Analytics and Habitat Monitoring to Protect Endangered Species and Ecosystems." In *AI and Ecological Change for Sustainable Development*, pp. 33-64. IGI Global Scientific Publishing, 2025.

[9] Moser, Susanne C., and Julia A. Ekstrom. "A framework to diagnose barriers to climate change adaptation." *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences* 107, no. 51 (2010): 22026-22031.

[10] Paul, Surjit, Indumathi SM, Subhalaxmi Roy, Manashree Mane, Bhupendra Kumar, and Ravi Kumar. "Application of the Ecological Risk Assessment (ERA) Framework to Evaluate Pesticide Impacts on Aquatic Life." *Natural & Engineering Sciences* 10, no. 3 (2025): 359–370. https://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.1811129

[11] Pimenow, Sergiusz, Olena Pimenowa, Piotr Prus, and Aleksandra Niklas. "The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on the Sustainability of Regional Ecosystems: Current Challenges and Future Prospects." *Sustainability* 17, no. 11 (2025): 4795. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17114795

[12] Prashanth, R. "Ecological Risk Assessment of Vector-Borne Alien Species Threatening Livestock Health Using CLIMEX Simulation." *National Journal of Animal Health and Sustainable Livestock* 3, no. 1 (2025): 1-9.

[13] Rajan, C., and Senthil, T. (2024). “Influence of invasive species on native forest regeneration and ecological stability.” *National Journal of Forest Sustainability and Climate Change,* 2, no. 1 (2024): 24–30.

[14] Robertson, Bruce A., Jennifer S. Rehage, and Andrew Sih. "Ecological novelty and the emergence of evolutionary traps." *Trends in ecology & evolution* 28, no. 9 (2013): 552-560.

[15] Saidova, Kamola, Shoira Abdullayeva, Dilaram Yakubova, Mirkomil Gudalov, Kamola Abdurahmonova, Hurriyat Khudoykulova, Gulbakhor Mukhammadova, and Kurbonalijon Zokirov. "Assessing the Economic Benefits of Climate Change Mitigation and Adoption Strategies for Aquatic Ecosystem." *International Journal of Research and Environmental Studies* 4 (2024): 20-26.

https://doi.org/10.70102/IJARES/V4S1/4

[16] Sasirekhamani, M., J. A. Johnson, and G. Chelladurai. "AI Tools in Navigating the Nexus: Understanding Behavioral Effects of Altered Animal Ecology on Developmental Disorders." In *Artificial Intelligence and Animal Ecology*, pp. 144-167. CRC Press, 2025.

[17] Ukoba, Kingsley, Oluwatayo Racheal Onisuru, Tien-Chien Jen, Daniel M. Madyira, and Kehinde O. Olatunji. "Predictive modeling of climate change impacts using Artificial Intelligence: a review for equitable governance and sustainable outcome." *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* (2025): 1-20.

[18] Ullah, Fazal, Saddam Saqib, and You-Cai Xiong. "Integrating artificial intelligence in biodiversity conservation: bridging classical and modern approaches." *Biodiversity and Conservation* 34, no. 1 (2025): 45-65.

[19] Xu, Zuo, and Dalong Jiang. "AI-Powered Plant Science: Transforming Forestry Monitoring, Disease Prediction, and Climate Adaptation." *Plants* 14, no. 11 (2025): 1626. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants14111626

[20] Yousaf, Hira. "AI for Environmental Sustainability: Applications in Resource Management and Conservation." *Journal of AI Range* 1, no. 2 (2024): 13-26.