Journal of Animal Environment

(E-ISSN 2717-1396, ISSN 2717-1388)

Original Research Paper

Managing Exam-Related Stress in Adolescents: A Lean Six Sigma DMAIC Approach

Shripuja Siddamsetty[1*], Dr. Soma Shekhar[2], Ashraf Unnisa[3]

[1]B.A, M.A, M.Sc, M.B.A (Human Resources), M. Philosophy, Clinical Psychologist, Founder Calm Mind Wellness, PhD Psychology Scholar, Bharatiya Engineering Science & Technology Innovation University (BESTIU), Mandrol Lake Side Campus, Vidhya Nagar, Gownivaripalli, Gorantla Mandal, Sri Sathya Sai District, Andhra Pradesh, India – 515231

[2]Clinical Psychologist, Yashoda Hospital; Guide, Best Innovation University, India

[3]Associate Clinical Psychologist, PhD Scholar, Best Innovation University, Andhra Pradesh, India

***Corresponding Author:** Shripuja Siddamsetty, Clinical Psychologist, Founder Calm Mind Wellness, PhD Psychology Scholar, Bharatiya Engineering Science & Technology Innovation University (BESTIU), Andhra Pradesh, India – 515231

A Review of Examination Pressure, Psychological Resilience, and Educational Process Improvement – Clinical and Operations Perspective

This review looks at examination-related stress from two connected perspectives. The first is the clinical perspective, informed by long-term work with children and adolescents. The second comes from operations management, particularly Lean Six Sigma. The purpose of bringing these perspectives together is not to treat students like production units. Instead, Lean Six Sigma is used as a practical way to identify parts of the academic process that repeatedly create unnecessary pressure and to consider how those parts could be improved.

ABSTRACT

Examinations are an important part of education, but the pressure surrounding them can become difficult for some adolescents to manage. During adolescence, students are still developing emotionally and cognitively, and repeated academic pressure may affect sleep, concentration, mood, and confidence. For some students, examination periods are associated with intense anxiety, physical symptoms of panic, difficulty recalling information, loss of motivation, and depressive experiences.

This review applies the Lean Six Sigma DMAIC model—Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, and Control—to this problem. The approach treats severe and preventable psychological distress as an undesirable outcome of the educational process and considers resilience and stable functioning as important indicators of quality. The paper brings together psychological concepts such as stress regulation, cognitive distortions, and allostatic load with operational ideas including process variation, Poka-Yoke, root-cause analysis, and statistical process control. The proposed framework is intended to help educational institutions identify sources of avoidable stress, introduce practical changes, and monitor whether those changes continue to help students over time.

Keywords: Examination stress; adolescent anxiety; academic depression; psychological resilience; Lean Six Sigma; DMAIC; educational ergonomics; statistical process control.

***Corresponding Author:**

Received: 25th July, 2026 | Revised: 26th August, 2026 | Accepted: 18th September, 2026 | Available Online: 24th September, 2026

(DOI): 10.70102/AEJ.2026.18.7s.87

1. Introduction and Theoretical Foundation

For many adolescents, examinations are not experienced as a single event. They are connected with expectations from parents and teachers, competition with peers, concerns about grades, and worries about future education or employment. As examination dates approach, these pressures can build gradually. A student who appears to be coping during the normal school routine may therefore struggle considerably during a high-stakes examination period.

Stress itself is not always harmful. A moderate level of arousal can help a person remain alert and focused. The difficulty arises when the level of pressure becomes too high or continues for too long. The inverted-U relationship described by Yerkes and Dodson (1908) is useful in understanding this change: increasing arousal may initially support performance, but excessive arousal can eventually interfere with it. Research on stress and cognition has also shown that prolonged exposure to stress hormones can influence learning, memory, and emotional functioning (Lupien et al., 2007).

This issue can also be considered from a Lean Six Sigma perspective. A well-designed process should produce its intended result without placing unnecessary strain on the people or resources involved. In a highly competitive academic environment, however, excessive workloads, tightly packed examinations, inadequate rest, and constant comparison may create forms of overburden and avoidable effort. In Lean terminology, these can be related to Muri and Muda. When pressure is repeated without enough recovery, the cumulative physiological cost is often described as allostatic load (McEwen, 1998).

- Neuroendocrine effects: prolonged stress responses may interfere with processes involved in memory and increase sensitivity to perceived threats.
- Cognitive effects: students may find it harder to concentrate, hold information in working memory, or retrieve information during a timed examination.
- Emotional and behavioral effects: examination stress can be associated with sleep problems, panic symptoms, headaches, gastrointestinal complaints, low motivation, fatigue, and depressive symptoms.

2. Examination-Related Distress and Six Sigma Analogies

Students do not all respond to examination pressure in the same way. Some mainly experience short-term nervousness, while others develop more persistent difficulties. The table below connects several commonly observed psychological patterns with operational concepts. These comparisons are intended as a way of understanding the system, not as clinical diagnoses.

Area	What may be happening	Possible signs	Operations analogy
Acute test anxiety	The student becomes highly alert to the examination as a threat.	Rapid heartbeat, shaking, fast breathing, panic sensations, or difficulty recalling familiar material.	Process instability under peak load.
Academic depression	Repeated academic setbacks may contribute to helplessness, negative thinking, and reduced motivation.	Loss of interest, fatigue, poor sleep, slowed activity, or feelings of worthlessness.	Loss of functional output.
Burnout and exhaustion	Long periods of cognitive and emotional effort leave little capacity for recovery.	Mental fatigue, detachment, cynicism, and reduced confidence.	Resource depletion and Muda.
Psychological resilience	The student can adapt to setbacks and return to normal functioning after stress.	Flexible thinking, emotional regulation, and a quicker recovery after failure.	Process robustness and fault tolerance.

3. Using DMAIC to Address Examination Stress

DMAIC provides a simple sequence for examining a recurring problem. In this case, the sequence can be used to understand where students are experiencing difficulty, collect appropriate information, identify contributing factors, try improvements, and check whether those improvements last.

3.1 Define: What Should the System Improve?

The first step is to agree on the problem. The goal should not simply be to make examinations easier. A more useful goal is to reduce serious and avoidable psychological distress while allowing students to learn and demonstrate what they know.

- A possible defect is a student showing substantial distress on an appropriate screening measure or repeatedly experiencing major anxiety, depressive symptoms, or stress-related disruption during examinations.

- Useful quality measures include stable emotional functioning, adequate sleep, and the ability to retrieve learned information under timed conditions.
- Screening scores should be interpreted by appropriately trained professionals. A score by itself should not be treated as a diagnosis.

3.2 Measure: Establishing a Baseline

The measurement stage should give a reasonably complete picture of student well-being. No single questionnaire can capture every aspect of examination stress, so a combination of measures may be more informative.

- DASS-21, RCADS, and BDI-II can provide structured information about anxiety, depression, and stress when they are suitable for the student group and administered appropriately.
- The Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC-10) can be used to examine resilience-related characteristics.
- In research settings, physiological indicators such as salivary cortisol patterns and heart-rate variability may provide additional information about stress regulation.
- Where enough reliable data are available, process measures such as capability indices and DPMO can help describe the frequency and consistency of undesirable outcomes.

3.3 Analyze: Finding the Main Causes

The next question is why the problem is happening. A fishbone or Ishikawa diagram can help separate the different factors instead of placing responsibility entirely on the student.

- Methods: a heavy dependence on one final examination, frequent testing, and learning methods that depend too heavily on memorization.
- People: catastrophic thoughts, all-or-nothing thinking, fear of failure, and the belief that academic results determine personal worth.
- Biology and routine: insufficient sleep, irregular sleep schedules, extensive late-night screen use, high caffeine intake, and limited physical activity.
- Environment: strong family expectations, constant comparison with peers, fear of disappointing others, and difficulty accessing safe and confidential support.

3.4 Improve: Practical Changes

The improvement stage should focus on changes that are realistic for students and educational institutions. Different students may require different combinations of support.

- Cognitive-behavioral support can help students examine catastrophic thoughts and practise responding to examination situations in a more balanced way. Low-stakes mock examinations can also be used gradually to reduce fear of the testing situation.
- Acceptance and Commitment Therapy can be useful for developing psychological flexibility. Values work, mindfulness, and cognitive defusion can help students avoid defining their entire self-worth through academic results.
- Study routines can be redesigned to reduce unnecessary overload. Spaced retrieval, interleaved practice, and planned breaks can make study more sustainable. A 50-minute study period followed by a 10-minute break is one possible arrangement, not a requirement for every learner.
- Sleep and daily routines deserve attention. Regular sleep, morning light exposure, sensible evening screen habits, and physical activity can support recovery and make sustained study more manageable.

3.5 Control: Keeping the Improvements in Place

An intervention is useful only if the improvement continues after the initial effort. The control stage therefore creates a simple feedback loop.

- Short weekly check-ins can ask about sleep, perceived stress, and concentration.
- Where appropriate research and governance arrangements exist, trend data can be examined using statistical process-control methods.
- A worsening pattern should lead to human review and, when needed, referral to qualified mental-health professionals. Automated data should support decision-making rather than replace clinical judgment.
- Examination timetables can be reviewed to avoid unnecessary clusters of high-stakes assessments and to provide reasonable recovery time.

4. Discussion and Recommendations

The main point of this review is that examination-related distress should not automatically be considered the unavoidable price of academic achievement. The way assessments are scheduled, the amount of work students receive, the messages they hear about failure, and the support available to them all influence how pressure is experienced.

Lean Six Sigma does not provide a clinical treatment by itself. Its value here is organizational. It gives schools and colleges a structured way to ask where stress is being introduced, which causes are repeated, what changes are practical, and whether the results improve over time.

- Use a wider mix of formative and lower-stakes assessments instead of placing excessive weight on one final examination.
- Train teachers to notice early signs of significant anxiety, withdrawal, exhaustion, or changes in functioning.
- Make psychological support easy to access and avoid presenting help-seeking as a sign of weakness.
- Collect student feedback alongside process data so that institutional decisions reflect students' actual experiences.
- Review workloads and examination schedules with recovery time in mind.

5. Conclusion

A DMAIC approach can provide a useful structure for examining examination stress at the level of the educational system. The Define and Measure stages clarify the problem and establish a baseline. Analyze helps identify the reasons behind the problem. Improve focuses on practical clinical, behavioral, and educational changes, while Control provides a way to check whether the changes remain effective.

The broader goal is not to remove academic challenge. It is to create an assessment system in which students can be challenged without being pushed unnecessarily beyond their ability to recover and function well. Treating psychological well-being as part of educational quality can support both healthier students and more meaningful academic performance.

References

Antony, J. (2006). Six sigma for service processes. Business Process Management Journal, 12(2), 234–248. https://doi.org/10.1108/14637150610657558

Beck, A. T. (1979). Cognitive therapy of depression. Guilford Press.

Connor, K. M., & Davidson, J. R. T. (2003). Development of a new resilience scale: The Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC). Depression and Anxiety, 18(2), 76–82. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.10113

Lovibond, P. F., & Lovibond, S. H. (1995). The structure of negative emotional states: Comparison of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS) with the Beck Depression and Anxiety Inventories. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 33(3), 335–343. https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967(94)00075-U

Lupien, S. J., Maheu, F., Tu, M., Fiocco, A., & Schramek, T. E. (2007). The effects of stress and stress hormones on human cognition: Implications for the field of brain and cognition. Brain and Cognition, 65(3), 209–237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2007.02.007

McEwen, B. S. (1998). Protective and damaging effects of stress mediators. New England Journal of Medicine, 338(3), 171–179. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199801153380307

Montgomery, D. C. (2019). Introduction to statistical quality control (8th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

Yerkes, R. M., & Dodson, J. D. (1908). The relation of strength of stimulus to rapidity of habit-formation. Journal of Comparative Neurology and Psychology, 18(5), 459–482. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.920180503