Journal of Animal Environment

(E-ISSN 2717-1396, ISSN 2717-1388)

Original Research Paper

Social Equality through Economic and Labour Reforms: Reassessing Dr. B. R. Ambedkar's Political Economy of Social Justice

[1]Jigyasa Singh, Tulchha Ram[2], Vagh Dan[3*] ,Vidit V. Nath[4] , Meenaxy [5] , Deepali Jhakar[6]

[1]Faculty (Economics), Department of Geography, Maharaja Ganga Singh University Bikaner
[2]Faculty (History), Department of Geography, Maharaja Ganga Singh University Bikaner
[3]Faculty, Department of Geography, Maharaja Ganga Singh University Bikaner
[4]Faculty, Department of Geography, Maharaja Ganga Singh University Bikaner
[5]Faculty, Department of Geography, Maharaja Ganga Singh University Bikaner
[6]Assistant professor, Department of Geography, Shri Jain girls PG college Bikaner

Abstract

Dr. Bhimrao Ramji Ambedkar was not only a social reformer and the chief architect of the Indian Constitution but also a trained economist whose ideas significantly influenced India's economic and labor policies. His vision extended beyond the workplace, emphasizing equal opportunity, dignified working conditions, and fair wages as essential components of a just society. Ambedkar viewed labor not merely as economic participation but as a means to achieve social dignity and break the chains of oppression. He is widely recognised as the principal architect of the Indian Constitution and a leading advocate of social justice. However, his contributions as an economist and labour policymaker remain comparatively underexplored in contemporary scholarship. This review paper examines the interrelationship between Ambedkar's economic thought, labour reforms, and vision of social equality. Drawing upon his major writings, including States and Minorities, Annihilation of Caste, and his policy interventions as Labour Member of the Viceroy's Executive Council, the paper argues that Ambedkar viewed economic democracy as a necessary foundation for social democracy. Labour rights, fair wages, social security, and state intervention were regarded not merely as economic measures but as instruments for dismantling caste-based inequalities and expanding substantive citizenship. Through a critical review of primary texts and contemporary scholarship, the study demonstrates that Ambedkar's political economy offers a comprehensive framework linking labour welfare, distributive justice, and social transformation. The paper further evaluates the contemporary relevance of Ambedkar's ideas in addressing challenges associated with labour informalisation, gig work, social protection, and persistent socio-economic inequalities in India. It concludes that Ambedkar's labour and economic philosophy remains an important intellectual resource for constructing an inclusive and equitable development model in the twenty-first century.

Keywords: B. R. Ambedkar, Political Economy, Labour Reforms, Economic Democracy, Social Justice, Social Equality, Labour Rights, Inclusive Development.

Introduction

Dr. Bhimrao Ramji Ambedkar occupies a unique position in modern Indian intellectual history. While widely celebrated as the chief architect of the Indian Constitution and a pioneer of social justice, Ambedkar was equally an accomplished economist whose contributions to labour welfare, economic planning, and distributive justice remain insufficiently explored. Educated at Columbia University and the London School of Economics, Ambedkar developed a sophisticated understanding of economic institutions and their relationship to systems of social inequality.

Ambedkar's intellectual project emerged in response to a colonial society characterized by extreme economic deprivation, caste-based exclusion, and exploitative labour relations. Unlike many contemporaries who treated caste primarily as a social or religious phenomenon, Ambedkar conceptualized it as a system of economic regulation that determined occupational roles, restricted labour mobility, and institutionalised inequality. Consequently, he argued

***Corresponding Author:**

Received: 25th July, 2026 | 25th May, 2026; Revised: 6th July, 2026; Accepted: 28th July, 2026; Available Online: 29th August, 2026
(DOI): 10.70102/AEJ.2026.18.8s.36

that social emancipation required not only political rights but also economic restructuring. His famous assertion that political democracy could not survive without social and economic democracy remains one of the most enduring contributions to democratic theory. For Ambedkar, labour rights, state intervention, and social welfare constituted essential mechanisms through which historically marginalized communities could achieve dignity and equality.

Dr. Bhimrao Ramji Ambedkar occupies a unique and enduring position in the intellectual, political, and socio-economic history of modern India. Although widely recognized as the chief architect of the Indian Constitution and one of the most influential champions of social justice, Ambedkar's contributions as an economist, labour theorist, and policymaker have received comparatively less attention in mainstream economic discourse. Trained at Columbia University and the London School of Economics, Ambedkar developed a sophisticated understanding of the relationship between economic institutions, social hierarchies, and political power. His intellectual project was rooted in the belief that genuine democracy could not flourish in a society characterised by structural inequalities and economic deprivation. The central concern of Ambedkar's scholarship was the persistence of inequality within Indian society. Unlike many contemporary nationalist leaders who prioritised political independence from colonial rule, Ambedkar viewed social and economic transformation as equally, if not more, important. He argued that political freedom without social and economic equality would merely transfer power from colonial elites to indigenous elites while leaving the condition of marginalized communities largely unchanged. Consequently, he consistently advocated for economic democracy alongside political democracy.

A defining feature of Ambedkar's thought was his interpretation of caste as an economic institution. He argued that caste was not merely a social hierarchy but a system that regulated labour, restricted occupational mobility, and legitimised economic exploitation. By allocating occupations according to birth rather than merit, the caste system inhibited individual freedom, reduced economic efficiency, and perpetuated poverty among historically disadvantaged groups. His famous observation that caste represented "not merely a division of labour but a division of labourers" remains one of the most insightful critiques of social stratification in India. Ambedkar therefore viewed labour rights and social welfare as critical instruments for achieving social justice. His tenure as Labour Member in the Viceroy's Executive Council between 1942 and 1946 provided an opportunity to translate his theoretical ideas into policy. During this period, he introduced significant reforms concerning working hours, maternity benefits, social security, industrial relations, and workers' welfare. These measures reflected his broader commitment to economic democracy and human dignity.

At a time when contemporary India faces growing concerns regarding labour informalisation, precarious employment, widening income inequality, and persistent caste-based occupational segregation, Ambedkar's economic philosophy assumes renewed significance. This paper therefore examines the relationship between Ambedkar's economic thought, labour reforms, and vision of social equality. By critically reviewing primary writings and contemporary scholarship, the study seeks to demonstrate that Ambedkar's labour policies constituted an integral component of a broader transformative project aimed at establishing social justice through economic reform. This paper critically reviews Ambedkar's economic philosophy and labour reforms, examining how his vision of economic democracy sought to transform labour relations and advance social equality. It further assesses the contemporary relevance of his ideas in addressing labour precarity, social exclusion, and inequality in modern India.

1. Objectives of the Study:

The specific objectives of the present study are:

- To analyse the economic philosophy of Dr. B. R. Ambedkar with reference to labour and social equality.
- To examine the major labour reforms introduced by Ambedkar during the colonial period.
- To study the role of state intervention in Ambedkar’s vision of economic democracy.
- To evaluate the contribution of Ambedkar’s labour policies towards the upliftment of marginalized sections of society.
- To explore the contemporary relevance of Ambedkar’s economic and labour reforms.

2. Research Methodology:

This study adopts a qualitative review-based methodology relying exclusively on secondary sources. The analysis is based on Ambedkar's primary writings, including Annihilation of Caste (1936), The Problem of the Rupee (1946), and States and Minorities (1947), alongside academic books, journal articles, government publications, labors policy documents, and contemporary scholarship.

The study employs thematic and interpretive analysis to identify the connections between Ambedkar's economic philosophy, labor reforms, and social equality. Particular attention is devoted to examining labor rights, economic democracy, distributive justice, and state intervention as interconnected components of Ambedkar's broader vision of social transformation.

3. Review of Literature:

***Corresponding Author:**

Received: 25th July, 2026 | 25th May, 2026; Revised: 6th July, 2026; Accepted: 28th July, 2026; Available Online: 29th August, 2026
(DOI): 10.70102/AEJ.2026.18.8s.36

Several scholars have examined Ambedkar's role as a social reformer and constitutional thinker, though his economic contributions have received comparatively limited attention. Keer (1971) highlights Ambedkar's deep concern for economic democracy and his belief that social justice must rest on material equality. Nanda (1990) notes that Ambedkar's approach to labour reforms was welfare-oriented and significantly influenced post-independence labour legislation.

Jaffrelot (2005) emphasizes that Ambedkar viewed caste as an instrument of economic domination and sought structural reforms to dismantle it. Omvedt (2004) interprets Ambedkar's economic vision as a blend of social democracy and state-led development. Teltumbde (2018) argues that Ambedkar's ideas were radical in nature, aiming at redistribution of resources and institutional protection for marginalized communities. This paper builds upon existing literature by situating Ambedkar's labour reforms within his broader vision of social equality.

The existing scholarship on Dr. B. R. Ambedkar may broadly be categorised into four major strands: biographical studies, analyses of caste and social justice, examinations of constitutional thought, and studies of economic and labour policy. Early biographical works such as Keer (1971) primarily emphasised Ambedkar's role as a social reformer and leader of the Scheduled Castes movement. These works established the historical significance of his contributions but devoted limited attention to his economic thought. Subsequently, constitutional scholars such as Austin (2003) explored Ambedkar's contribution to democratic institutions and constitutional governance, portraying him as a key architect of modern India's political framework.

A second body of literature focuses on Ambedkar's critique of caste and social exclusion. Jaffrelot (2005) argues that Ambedkar understood caste as a structure of domination that constrained both economic and political opportunities. Similarly, Omvedt (1994, 2004) situates Ambedkar within broader struggles for democratic transformation and highlights his commitment to social justice and equality. Guru (2009) further develops this perspective by examining the role of dignity and humiliation in Ambedkar's understanding of social exclusion.

More recent scholarship has increasingly recognised Ambedkar's economic contributions. Mungekar (2017) argues that Ambedkar should be viewed as one of India's most important economic thinkers owing to his analyses of public finance, monetary policy, and labour relations. Thorat and Newman (2010) demonstrate how caste continues to influence economic outcomes, thereby reinforcing the relevance of Ambedkar's critique. Teltumbde (2018) further argues that Ambedkar's economic ideas remain vital for understanding contemporary forms of inequality under neoliberal economic regimes.

Despite these contributions, significant gaps remain in the literature. Most studies analyse Ambedkar primarily through the lens of caste or constitutionalism, while relatively fewer examine the relationship between his economic philosophy and labour reforms. Furthermore, labour reforms introduced during his tenure as Labour Member are often discussed as administrative achievements rather than as components of a larger vision of economic democracy. There is also limited scholarship linking Ambedkar's labour policies to contemporary debates on informal employment, social protection, gig work, and inclusive development. The present study addresses these gaps by integrating Ambedkar's economic thought, labour reforms, and vision of social equality within a unified analytical framework.

4. Historical Context: Labour, Caste and Economic Exclusion in Colonial India:

In ancient civilizations such as China, Egypt, and Mesopotamia, organized labour was primarily agrarian in nature. Farmers worked under the authority of kings, temples, or landlords and cultivated land that they rarely owned. Systems of slavery existed in varying forms, and enslaved individuals were employed in agriculture, construction, mining, and domestic service (Marx, 1867; Thapar, 2002). Similarly, in ancient Greece and Rome, slavery constituted an integral component of economic production and social organization (Hobsbawm, 1987).

During the medieval period, feudalism emerged as the dominant socio-economic system in Europe. Under this arrangement, landless peasants or serfs worked on lands controlled by feudal lords in exchange for protection and subsistence. The system restricted labour mobility and tied workers to hereditary obligations, limiting economic freedom and social advancement (Sharma, 1965).

The colonial era witnessed the emergence of new forms of labour exploitation. The transatlantic slave trade and plantation economies depended heavily on forced labour, while colonial systems often reorganized local economies to serve imperial interests (Cambridge Economic History of India, 1982). Labour became increasingly integrated into global economic networks, although workers frequently faced exploitative conditions and limited legal protections.

In India, labour relations were historically shaped not only by economic institutions but also by the caste system. Occupational roles were often determined by birth, creating a rigid division of labour that reinforced social and economic inequalities (Ambedkar, 1936). Certain communities were confined to low-status and stigmatized occupations, limiting opportunities for mobility and economic advancement.

***Corresponding Author:**

Received: 25th July, 2026 | 25th May, 2026; Revised: 6th July, 2026; Accepted: 28th July, 2026; Available Online: 29th August, 2026
(DOI): 10.70102/AEJ.2026.18.8s.36

The colonial period brought significant changes to India's labour market through industrialization, commercialization of agriculture, and expansion of plantations, railways, and mining industries. However, these developments did not eliminate caste-based disparities. Instead, many marginalized communities continued to be concentrated in low-paid and hazardous occupations under exploitative working conditions (Government of India, 1946). Following independence, India adopted a welfare-oriented approach toward labour policy. A series of labour laws were enacted to regulate working conditions, protect workers' rights, and recognize trade unions as legitimate representatives of labour interests (Government of India, 2019). In subsequent decades, industrial growth, urbanization, and globalization transformed the structure of employment. Nevertheless, challenges such as informal employment, labour insecurity, and unequal access to economic opportunities continue to shape the contemporary labour landscape (International Labour Organization, 2023; World Bank, 2024).

It was within this broader historical context that Dr. B. R. Ambedkar developed his critique of labour exploitation and social inequality. Ambedkar argued that caste represented not merely a division of labour but a division of labourers because it institutionalized occupational segregation and restricted social mobility (Ambedkar, 1936). His analysis formed the intellectual foundation for his later advocacy of labour welfare, social security, economic democracy, and state intervention as instruments of social justice.

5. Ambedkar's Economic Philosophy and Social Equality:

Ambedkar's economic philosophy was grounded in the belief that social equality could not be achieved without economic justice. Ambedkar's economic philosophy emerged from his broader concern with justice, equality, and human dignity. He argued that economic structures profoundly influence social relations and that social hierarchies cannot be dismantled without addressing economic inequalities. Consequently, economic democracy occupied a central place within his vision of a just society.

A key aspect of Ambedkar's economic thought was his critique of caste as an economic institution. According to Ambedkar (1936), caste restricted labour mobility by assigning occupations on the basis of birth rather than individual talent. Such a system not only violated principles of equality but also impeded economic progress by preventing efficient allocation of human resources. In modern economic terminology, Ambedkar recognised that caste created labour market distortions that reduced both individual welfare and aggregate productivity. Ambedkar also rejected unregulated capitalism. While he appreciated the productive capacity of markets, he argued that unrestricted capitalism inevitably concentrated wealth and power in the hands of a few. Such concentration threatened democratic values and perpetuated inequality. However, Ambedkar was equally critical of authoritarian models of socialism that ignored individual liberty. Instead, he advocated a middle path founded on democratic governance, economic planning, and state intervention. He supported a mixed economy where the state intervenes to ensure welfare, social security, and protection of workers. In States and Minorities (1947), Ambedkar proposed a framework for state socialism that included public ownership of key industries, regulation of economic resources, and constitutional safeguards against economic exploitation. The purpose of these measures was not merely economic efficiency but the promotion of social justice. He believed that the state should actively intervene to protect vulnerable groups, provide social security, and create equal opportunities.

Labour occupied a privileged position in Ambedkar's economic thinking. Unlike classical economic models that often treat labour as a factor of production, Ambedkar emphasized the human dimension of work. Labour represented a source of dignity, citizenship, and self-respect. Consequently, workers should be guaranteed decent wages, reasonable working conditions, and protection against exploitation.

Ambedkar's conception of economic democracy therefore extended beyond income redistribution. It encompassed social protection, labour rights, educational opportunities, and equal access to economic resources. His vision anticipated many contemporary debates concerning inclusive growth and human-centred development. Labour, in Ambedkar's view, was not a commodity but a human entity entitled to dignity and security (Mungekar, 2017).

6. Ambedkar as Labour Member (1942–1946): Major Labour Reforms:

Ambedkar's tenure as Labour Member marked a watershed moment in the history of Indian labour legislation. During this period, he introduced several reforms that laid the foundation of India's labour welfare framework (Government of India, 1946). During his tenure as Labour Member of the Viceroy's Executive Council, Ambedkar introduced a series of reforms that shaped modern labour policy in India. Table 1 depicts measures introduced by Ambedkar showing his commitment to labour welfare and social justice.

One of his most significant contributions was the introduction of the eight-hour working day, which reduced exploitation and aligned Indian labour standards with international norms. Ambedkar also strongly advocated the principle of minimum wages, arguing that wages should ensure a decent standard of living and uphold human dignity (Ambedkar, 1947).

***Corresponding Author:**

Received: 25th July, 2026 | 25th May, 2026; Revised: 6th July, 2026; Accepted: 28th July, 2026; Available Online: 29th August, 2026
(DOI): 10.70102/AEJ.2026.18.8s.36

Table 1: Labour Reforms introduced by Ambedkar

Labour Reforms	Objective	Social Impact
Eight-hour working day	Reduce worker exploitation	Improved labour welfare
Maternity benefits	Protection of women workers	Gender justice
Social security initiatives	Worker protection	Reduced vulnerability
Trade union support	Collective bargaining	Industrial democracy
Mine welfare measures	Workplace safety	Dignity of labour
Labour welfare funds	Social protection	Inclusive development

Source: Authors created table using existing literature

Ambedkar played a pioneering role in introducing maternity benefits for women workers, recognizing the need for social protection and gender equity in industrial employment. He emphasized the importance of social security measures, including provident funds, health insurance, and compensation for industrial injuries. Additionally, Ambedkar strengthened the legal framework for trade unions, promoting collective bargaining and industrial democracy (Galanter, 1979). These reforms reflected Ambedkar's conviction that labour legislation should extend beyond industrial productivity and address broader issues of equity and social justice.

7. Role of Labour Reforms in Promoting Social Equality:

Ambedkar's labour reforms cannot be understood solely as workplace regulations. They represented broader interventions aimed at restructuring unequal social relations. Ambedkar's labour reforms played a crucial role in promoting social equality by addressing structural economic injustices. By regulating wages, working hours, and working conditions, these reforms helped weaken the caste-based division of labour that confined marginalized communities to degrading occupations (Jaffrelot, 2005). Fair wages reduced economic dependence. Improved working conditions enhanced dignity. Maternity protection promoted gender equality. Collective bargaining strengthened worker agency.

In Ambedkar's view, labour rights were ultimately civil rights. Access to dignified employment was essential for substantive citizenship and democratic participation. By extending legal protections to vulnerable workers, labour legislation became a mechanism through which marginalized communities could secure economic security and social recognition. Labour welfare thus became a powerful instrument for achieving inclusion and equality (Omvedt, 2004).

8. Contemporary Relevance of Ambedkar's Economic and Labour Vision:

Ambedkar's economic and labour ideas remain highly relevant in contemporary India. The rapid expansion of informal employment, contract labour, and the gig economy has increased job insecurity and weakened labour protections (Government of India, 2019). Ambedkar's emphasis on state intervention and social security offers critical guidance for addressing these challenges.

Despite economic growth, large segments of the workforce remain excluded from social protection systems. Furthermore, caste-based occupational inequalities continue to influence access to education, employment, and income opportunities. Ambedkar's emphasis on state responsibility, labour welfare, and economic democracy offers valuable insights into contemporary policy debates.

Caste-based occupational inequality continues to persist, with marginalized communities disproportionately represented in low-paid and hazardous jobs (Jodhka, 2015). Ambedkar's analysis of caste as an economic system remains essential for understanding and addressing these inequalities. His vision of inclusive development and dignity of labour provides a framework for building a just and equitable society in the present era (Teltumbde, 2018).

9. Discussion:

The evidence examined suggests that Ambedkar should be understood not merely as a social reformer but as a political economist whose analysis connected caste, labour, and economic inequality within a single explanatory framework. His labour reforms were designed to achieve more than administrative efficiency. They sought to dismantle institutional barriers that prevented marginalized communities from participating fully in economic and social life.

This interpretation broadens existing scholarship by highlighting the relationship between labour rights and

***Corresponding Author:**

Received: 25th July, 2026 | 25th May, 2026; Revised: 6th July, 2026; Accepted: 28th July, 2026; Available Online: 29th August, 2026
(DOI): 10.70102/AEJ.2026.18.8s.36

democratic citizenship. Ambedkar envisioned labour welfare as a pathway through which social exclusion could be challenged and substantive equality realised. His framework remains relevant because contemporary labour markets continue to exhibit many of the inequalities that concerned him during the colonial period.

10. Conclusion and Policy Implications

Dr. B. R. Ambedkar's economic and labour reforms represent a transformative vision aimed at achieving social equality through economic justice. He believed that political democracy would remain fragile without economic democracy (Ambedkar, 1947). Through progressive labour legislation, Ambedkar sought to protect workers, empower marginalized communities, and restore dignity to labour. This review demonstrates that Dr. B. R. Ambedkar's vision of social equality was fundamentally rooted in economic justice and labour rights. His critique of caste, advocacy of economic democracy, and commitment to labour welfare formed a coherent framework aimed at achieving substantive equality.

As Labour Member of the Viceroy's Executive Council, Ambedkar translated these ideas into policy through reforms relating to working hours, social security, maternity protection, trade union rights, and workplace welfare. These measures sought not only to protect workers but also to expand opportunities for marginalized communities and democratise economic relations. His contributions as Labour Member laid the foundation of India's labour welfare framework and reflected his commitment to a welfare-oriented state. Ambedkar's ideas continue to influence debates on labour rights, social security, and inclusive growth. A comprehensive understanding of his economic and labour reforms is essential for addressing contemporary socio-economic challenges and realizing the constitutional ideals of justice, liberty, equality, and fraternity. His emphasis on state responsibility and worker welfare continues to provide an important framework for policy formulation.

1. Strengthen universal social security systems covering informal and gig workers.
2. Integrate social justice objectives within labour market reforms.
3. Address caste-based occupational inequalities through education and skill development.
4. Expand maternity, health, and welfare protections for vulnerable workers.
5. Strengthen institutions responsible for labour rights enforcement.
6. Promote inclusive economic growth through employment-centred development strategies.

Ultimately, Ambedkar's political economy remains highly relevant for contemporary India. His vision of economic democracy and dignity of labour offers a powerful framework for advancing social justice, reducing inequality, and building a more inclusive society.

11. References:

1) Ambedkar, B. R. (1936). Annihilation of caste. Navayana.
2) Ambedkar, B. R. (1945). What Congress and Gandhi have done to the untouchables. Thacker & Co.
3) Ambedkar, B. R. (1946). The problem of the rupee: Its origin and its solution. P. S. King & Son.
4) Ambedkar, B. R. (1947). States and minorities: What are their rights and how to secure them in the Constitution of free India. Government of India.
5) Austin, G. (2003). The Indian Constitution: Cornerstone of a nation (Rev. ed.). Oxford University Press.
6) Cambridge Economic History of India. (1982). The Cambridge economic history of India (Vol. 2). Cambridge University Press.
7) Galanter, M. (1979). Competing equalities: Law and the backward classes in India. University of California Press.
8) Government of India. (1946). Labour policy and legislation in India. Ministry of Labour.
9) Government of India. (2019). Report on the working of labour laws in India. Ministry of Labour and Employment.
10) Guru, G. (2009). Humiliation: Claims and context. Oxford University Press.
11) Hobsbawm, E. J. (1987). The age of empire, 1875-1914. Pantheon Books.
12) Ilaiah Shepherd, K. (2021). The shudras: Vision for a new path. Aleph Book Company.
13) International Labour Organization. (2023). World employment and social outlook: Trends 2023. International Labour Organization.
14) Jaffrelot, C. (2005). Dr Ambedkar and untouchability: Analysing and fighting caste. Permanent Black.
15) Jaffrelot, C. (2018). Ambedkar and democracy: An Indian debate. Oxford University Press.
16) Jodhka, S. S. (2015). Caste in contemporary India. Routledge.
17) Keer, D. (1971). Dr Ambedkar: Life and mission (3rd ed.). Popular Prakashan.
18) Marx, K. (1867/1990). Capital: A critique of political economy (Vol. 1, B. Fowkes, Trans.). Penguin Classics.
19) Mungekar, B. L. (2017). Ambedkar as an economist. Economic and Political Weekly, 52(15), 45-52.
20) Omvedt, G. (1994). Dalits and the democratic revolution: Dr Ambedkar and the Dalit movement in colonial India. Sage Publications.
21) Omvedt, G. (2004). Ambedkar: Towards an enlightened India. Penguin Books.
22) Pai, S. (2013). Dalit assertion. Oxford University Press.

***Corresponding Author:**

Received: 25th July, 2026 | 25th May, 2026; Revised: 6th July, 2026; Accepted: 28th July, 2026; Available Online: 29th August, 2026
(DOI): 10.70102/AEJ.2026.18.8s.36

23) Rodrigues, V. (Ed.). (2002). The essential writings of B. R. Ambedkar. Oxford University Press.
24) Sharma, R. S. (1965). Indian feudalism. Macmillan.
25) Teltumbde, A. (2018). Republic of caste: Thinking equality in the time of neoliberal Hindutva. Navayana.
26) Thapar, R. (2002). Early India: From the origins to AD 1300. Penguin Books.
27) Thorat, S., & Newman, K. S. (2010). Blocked by caste: Economic discrimination in modern India. Oxford University Press.
28) World Bank. (2024). World development report 2024: Reimagining development. World Bank.
29) Zelliot, E. (2004). Ambedkar's world: The making of Babasaheb and the Dalit movement. Navayana.

***Corresponding Author:**

Received: 25th July, 2026 | 25th May, 2026; Revised: 6th July, 2026; Accepted: 28th July, 2026; Available Online: 29th August, 2026
(DOI): 10.70102/AEJ.2026.18.8s.36